



MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the Kaysville City Council will hold a regular council meeting on Thursday, June 3, 2021, starting at 7:00 P.M. in the **Council Chambers in Kaysville City Hall at 23 East Center Street, Kaysville, UT.**

In consideration of the COVID-19 pandemic, attendance will be monitored by the Kaysville City Police Department and will be limited to less than 50 individuals, including Council, Staff and Applicants. Because of the room occupancy limitation, it is encouraged that those wishing to watch the meeting do so by viewing the meeting online. The meeting will be streamed on YouTube, and the link to the meeting will be posted on www.KaysvilleLive.com. Masks are encouraged, and all of those in attendance will be required to participate in a socially distant manner.

For those wishing to direct comments to the City Council regarding public hearings or items on the agenda can do so in-person, or email comments to publiccomment@kaysvillecity.com. Emailed comments will NOT be read out-loud at the meeting. Members wishing to speak during an Action Items or during Call to the Public must sign-up in person before the meeting to speak.

CITY COUNCIL Q&A – 6:30 P.M.

The City Council will be available to answer questions or discuss any matters the public may have.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING – 7:00 P.M.

The agenda shall be as follows:

1. OPENING
 - a. Provided by Council Member Andre Lortz.
2. PRESENTATIONS AND AWARDS
 - a. Davis High Musical Number.
 - b. Youth City Council Recognition.
 - c. Recreation, Arts, Museum, and Parks (RAMP) Presentation.
3. DECLARATION OF ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
4. CONSENT ITEMS
 - a. Approval of Minutes of May 3, 2021.
 - b. Appointment of Chelsie Fromeyer as Deputy City Recorder.
 - c. An Ordinance updating the Criminal and Traffic Code Adoption ordinances.
 - d. A Resolution and notice of intent to submit RAMP tax ballot opinion question to residents.
5. WORK ITEMS
 - a. UDOT Property Acquisition Proposals.
 - b. Fire Services Proposal with Fruit Heights City.
 - c. American Rescue Plan (ARP) Funds Discussion.
6. CALL TO THE PUBLIC (3 MINUTE LIMIT, MUST SIGN UP IN PERSON)
7. COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS
8. CITY MANAGER REPORT
9. ADJOURNMENT

Kaysville City is dedicated to a policy of non-discrimination in admission to, access to, or operations of its programs, services, or activities. If you need special assistance due to a disability, please contact the Kaysville City Offices at (801) 546-1235 at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting to be held.

I hereby certify that I posted a copy of the foregoing Notice and Agenda and emailed copies to media representatives on May 28, 2021.


Annemarie Plaizier
City Recorder

KAYSVILLE CITY COUNCIL
BUDGET WORK SESSION
May 3, 2021

Minutes of a special Kaysville City Council work session held on Monday, May 3, 2021 beginning at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers in Kaysville City Hall at 23 East Center Street, Kaysville, UT.

Council Members present: Mayor Katie Witt, Council Member John Swan Adams, Council Member Barber, Council Member Mike Blackham, Council Member Andre Lortz and Council Member Tamara Tran

Others Present: City Manager Shayne Scott, Finance Director Dean Storey, City Recorder Annemarie Plaizier

OPENING

Mayor Witt opened the work session and welcomed everyone present.

FY 2022 BUDGET REVIEW AND DISCUSSION (CONT.)

Finance Director Dean Storey explained that the tentative budget is scheduled for adoption at the City Council's May 20, 2021 meeting. Tonight the council is meeting to go over some of the changes and updates that have come about in the last couple of weeks and to further discuss the budget in depth. Staff has gone through and refined the numbers within the budget further since the previous work session. A truth in taxation hearing will need to be held in August to help address additional revenue needed, included covering the cost of the paramedic program. More numbers will continue to be added as we are given further information. Another thing that has mentioned previously is the possibility of implementing a vehicle replacement program of some kind. The city will need to also look into moving some of the balance of the general fund elsewhere, and staff is recommending that some of it be moved to the capital project fund for city improvements.

Shayne Scott added that one area that some of this fund balance could be used for is possibly purchasing land on the west side for a fire station.

Dean Storey said that the council also needs to consider what the city's needs are for personnel.

Council Member Blackham commented that over the last year we have added more personnel to the city, and will be adding more with the new paramedic program. The city previously had a city engineer on staff, but since he retired Josh Belnap has acted as city engineer. We have also been utilizing a third-party engineer for help. There is a request before us to hire another city engineer on staff and Council Member Blackham commented that he feels it would save the city money to just keep contracting someone rather than hiring someone on staff. The Police Department has also requested more personnel, but they seem to already be struggling to fill the positions they already have open and it might be best to hold off for a little while. Council Member Blackham

said that he's not saying these proposed positions aren't needed, but do we have the money to pay for these new positions? We also need to make sure we are taking care of our existing employees and give them the cost of living increase. The Parks and Recreation department has been asking for more help for years and are more of a priority. We need to say no to some things this year. The new paramedic program is going to be a cost we are going to need to find funds for.

Council Member Lortz commented that Josh Belnap has been overburdened with many things and while we want to keep cost low, we don't want to stretch our employees too thin. The paramedic program funds will likely be more impacting for FY 2023 than FY 2022, but we need to start to commit what funds we can towards it. While we want to be supportive with Staff's requests, the positions requested by the Police department came in late and might need to be deferred until next year.

Council Member Barber said that we need to make it clear to our residents that while their city taxes will show an increase, they will see a decrease in the amount going to the county.

Council Member Blackham stated that while we want to keep our tax increase as low as possible, we need to ensure we will have the funds needed for the paramedic program and for our existing personnel.

Shayne Scott commented that the city is allowed to only keep a certain amount of money in our general fund and is why we are looking at other areas where we could move the money.

Dean Storey added that it would be smart to put at least a percentage of the money towards the capital improvement fund.

Council Member Adams asked about setting the money aside for a specific reason for a later date.

Shayne Scott responded that the money could potentially be set aside for a specific purpose, but there would be nothing stopping future councils from using that money for something different.

Council Member Blackham asked about the percentage that taxes would need to be raised.

Dean Storey commented that the county assessor's office will provide us with those numbers in about a month and we will have a better idea then of what we will need to propose at truth and taxation.

Council Member Barber commented that Council members have heard from staff that it is getting more difficult for our current staff to cover our needs.

Council Member Blackham added that it seems that employee retention has become a significant problem and we need to focus on this issue before we agree to hire on new positions.

Council Member Adams suggested that the council remove the proposed staff from the tentative budget, with the exception of the positions requested by the Parks and Recreation department. Then when we have a better idea of where we are at with our numbers, we might be able to add them back in before the budget is finalized.

Council Member Blackham added that if we don't have the money for it this year, we could continue to contract engineering work out until we can hire our own.

Shayne Scott added that we could also consider hiring an engineer in training to help Josh Belnap with the city engineering role.

Council Member Blackham added that he would like to see how much it would cost to hire a city engineer versus how much we have spent for consulting work over the last year.

Dean Storey added that the Council would also need to review and consider raising the water and storm drain utility rates sometime in the upcoming weeks as well in order to cover costs.

ADJOURNMENT

Council Member Blackham made a motion to adjourn the City Council work session at 7:30 p.m., seconded by Council Member Adams and passed unanimously.

STAFF REPORT



COUNCIL MEETING DATE: June 3, 2021

ITEM NO.:

TYPE OF ITEM: Consent

SUBJECT/AGENDA TITLE: A Resolution Appointing Chelsie Fromeyer as the City's Deputy City Recorder

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: This resolution would appoint Chelsie Fromeyer to serve as the City's Deputy City Recorder. Ms. Devereux, who has taken a job with Davis County, filled this position. A city should designate a Deputy City Recorder to fill some required statutory roles that only City Recorders can. For example, the City Recorder is the only person statutorily authorized to accept annexation petitions. Having a designated Deputy City Recorder will insure that the City has the necessary redundancies to insure that these services can be continually offered to the public.

Council Options: 1) Approve the Resolution Appointing Chelsie Fromeyer as Deputy City Recorder; 2) Approve the Resolution with any modifications that the Council deems appropriate; 3) Decline to adopt the Resolution and remand to staff with further direction.

Recommended Options: Staff recommends that the City Council approve the Resolution Appointing Chelsie Fromeyer as Deputy City Recorder.

Fiscal Impact & Fund Source for Recommended Action: City Staff does not anticipate any costs to the City based on the passage of this resolution.

Attachments:

RESOLUTION 21-~~XX-XX~~

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING CHELSIE FROMEYER AS DEPUTY CITY RECORDER

WHEREAS, Utah State Code and Kaysville City Ordinance outlines that a City Recorder is an important and necessary municipal position; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the City to have a Deputy City Recorder to best provide for redundancies in city staffing; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Fromeyer has been hired as a paralegal and part of her hired duties are to act as Deputy City Recorder.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF KAYSVILLE, UTAH:

1. That Chelsie Fromeyer be appointed to fill the Deputy City Recorder position.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Kaysville, Utah, this **3rd day of June, 2021.**

Katie Witt, Mayor

ATTEST:

Annemarie Plaizier, City Recorder

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



COUNCIL MEETING DATE: June 3, 2021

TYPE OF ITEM: CONSENT

PRESENTED BY:

SUBJECT/AGENDA TITLE: An Ordinance Updating the Criminal and Traffic Code Adoption Ordinances

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: This Ordinance makes technical changes to the criminal and traffic sections of the City Code. The amendments are an attempt to accomplish two objectives: first, remove an outdated reference to a Title of the Utah Code; second, remove an outdated reference to the 1992 book publication of the Utah Code.

City staff has reviewed the City Code and found an out-of-date section adopting a Title of the Utah Code (32A) that the Utah Legislature has repealed and replaced under a new Title (32B). City staff recommends the City Code be updated to adopt the new number of that Title. Additionally, the text of the City Code adopts Titles of the Utah Code as published and updated in book form, and refers to a 1992 edition in a confusing way. Because the Utah Legislature publishes an authoritative version of the Utah Code on its website, City Staff recommends that the City Code be updated to remove references to the book form and to the 1992 edition of the Utah Code.

Council Options: 1) Approve the Ordinance; 2) Approve the Ordinance with any modifications that the Council deems appropriate; 3) Decline to adopt the Ordinance and remand to staff with further direction.

Recommended Options: Staff recommends that the City Council approve the Ordinance updating the Kaysville City Code.

Fiscal impact & Fund Source for Recommended Action: City Staff does not anticipate any costs to the City based on the passage of this Ordinance.

Attachments:

ORDINANCE ~~XX-XX-XX~~

AMENDING TITLE 6, CHAPTER 2, SECTION 1; AMENDING TITLE 6, CHAPTER 4, SECTIONS 1 AND 2; REPEALING TITLE 6, CHAPTER 4, SECTION 3; AND AMENDING AND RENUMBERING TITLE 6, CHAPTER 4, SECTION 4 OF THE KAYSVILLE MUNICIPAL CODE, AMENDING THE CRIMINAL AND TRAFFIC CODE ADOPTION ORDINANCES

WHEREAS, Kaysville City expressly adopts certain Titles of the Utah Code as part of the Traffic Code and the Criminal Code of Kaysville City; and

WHEREAS, Kaysville City adopts these Titles of the Utah Code to empower the City to prosecute misdemeanors, traffic violations, and other infractions of Utah Law that occur within the City; and

WHEREAS, the current Kaysville City Code adopts a Title of the Utah Code that was repealed and replaced by a Title of a different number by the Utah Legislature and should be updated; and

WHEREAS, the current Kaysville City Code adopts the Utah Code as compiled, prepared and published in book form; and

WHEREAS, the online publication of the Utah Code by the Utah Legislature is authoritative and more readily available to the Citizens of Kaysville City than the book form and thereby affords Citizens better notice of the laws governing them; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of Kaysville City finds this amendment to be in the best interests of the Citizens of Kaysville City and the health, safety, and welfare of the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF KAYSVILLE, UTAH:

SECTION I: Enactment. Title 6, Chapter 2, Section 1 of the Kaysville Municipal Code is hereby amended by repealing and replacing the language as set forth below:

6-2-1 Traffic Code Adopted

1. The provisions of Title 41 entitled, "Motor Vehicles", ~~as set forth in the "Utah Criminal and Traffic Code, 1992 Edition" and subsequent editions, as compiled, prepared and published in book form by the Utah Department of Public Safety, of the Utah Code, as amended or superseded from time to time,~~ are hereby approved and adopted as the Traffic Code for Kaysville City.
2. Whenever the context so indicates or requires, the name of Kaysville City or any of its agencies or offices shall be used in place of any State agencies or offices used in the said ~~"Utah Criminal and Traffic Code, 1992 Edition"~~ Utah Code to the extent necessary to implement the intent of adopting the Traffic Code as referred to herein.
3. In addition to the provisions of Title 41 of the Utah Code expressly adopted, Kaysville City may from time to time adopt ordinances regulating traffic within the City, which shall become a part of the City's Traffic Code.

SECTION II: Enactment. Title 6, Chapter 4, Section 1 of the Kaysville Municipal Code is hereby amended by repealing and replacing the language as set forth below:

6-4-1 Criminal Code Adopted

1. The provisions of Titles 30, 32~~AB~~, 58, 76 and 77 of the ~~"Utah Criminal and Traffic Code, 1992 Edition" and subsequent editions, as compiled, prepared and published in book form by the Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Code, as amended or superseded from time to time,~~ are hereby approved and adopted as the Criminal Code for Kaysville City.
2. Whenever the context so indicates or requires, the name of Kaysville City or any of its agencies or officers shall be used in place of any state agencies or offices used in the said ~~"Utah Criminal and Traffic Code, 1992 Edition" Utah Code~~ to the extent necessary to implement the intent of adopting the criminal code as referred to herein.
3. In addition to the provisions of Titles 30, 32B, 58, 76, and 77 of the Utah Code expressly adopted, Kaysville City may from time to time adopt ordinances regulating activities that are criminal in nature, which shall become a part of the City's Criminal Code.

SECTION III: Enactment. Title 6, Chapter 4, Section 2 of the Kaysville Municipal Code is hereby amended by repealing and replacing the language as set forth below:

6-4-2 Criminal Code Exclusions

The portions of Titles 30, 32~~AB~~, 41, 58, 76 and 77, ~~set forth in the "Utah Criminal and Traffic Code, 1992 Edition" of the Utah Code~~ which make illegal certain conduct, the penalty or penalties for violations of which exceed the maximum penalty or penalties which may be imposed by Kaysville City, are not adopted by the terms of KCC 6-4-1.

SECTION IV: Enactment. Title 6, Chapter 4, Section 3 is hereby repealed:

6-4-3 Revisions Of "Utah Criminal And Traffic Code"

~~Subsequent revisions of the "Utah Criminal and Traffic Code" compiled, prepared and published in book form by the Utah Department of Public Safety are adopted and approved as they are prepared and published as set forth in KCC 6-2-1 and KCC 6-4-1.~~

SECTION V: Enactment. Title 6, Chapter 4, Section 4 is hereby amended by repealing and replacing the language as set forth below; and is hereby renumbered as Title 6, Chapter 4, Section 3:

6-4-3 Uniform Bail Schedule

The Uniform Bail Schedule as promulgated by the Judicial Council's Standing Committee on the Uniform Bail Schedule, ~~pursuant to Rule 4-302 of the Code of Judicial Administration, as it is presently established and as it may be amended from time to time, and as amended or superseded from time to time,~~ is adopted for use in the prosecution of all offenses commenced pursuant to the provisions of the Kaysville City Criminal Code.

SECTION VI: Required Statements. The penalties for violations of the Titles of the Utah Code adopted in this Ordinance are set forth in Title 76, Chapter 3 of the Utah Code. When a penalty for a violation of the adopted Titles of the Utah Code includes any possibility of imprisonment, the City is required under Utah Code Section 78B-22-301 to provide for indigent defense services, as the term is defined in Section 78B-22-102.

SECTION VII: Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, said portion shall be severed and such declaration shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this Ordinance.

SECTION VIII: Effective Date. This Ordinance shall become effective immediately.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Kaysville, Utah, this ____ **day of** _____,
2021.

Katie Witt, Mayor

ATTEST:

Annemarie Plaizier, City Recorder

RESOLUTION 21-~~XX-XX~~

A RESOLUTION TO NOTIFY THE DAVIS COUNTY COMMISSION OF KAYSVILLE CITY'S INTENT TO SUBMIT AN OPINION QUESTION TO KAYSVILLE CITY RESIDENTS, PROVIDING EACH RESIDENT AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS AN OPINION ON THE IMPOSITION OF A LOCAL SALES AND USE TAX OF 0.1% TO FINANCE RECREATION, ARTS, MUSEUMS, AND PARK FACILITIES, AND THE OPERATING EXPENSES OF THESE PROPOSED FACILITIES WITHIN KAYSVILLE CITY.

WHEREAS, the Utah Code (Section 59-12-1401, et seq.) authorizes a city to submit an opinion question to its voters as to whether the City should impose a local sales and use tax of 0.1 percent to finance recreation, arts, museums, and park facilities, and the operating expenses of these proposed recreation, arts, museums, and park facilities (commonly referred to as "RAMP tax" (Recreation, Arts, Museums, and Park) in Kaysville); and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Section 59-12-1402(6)(a)(i) states that before a city submits an opinion question to its voters regarding the RAMP tax, it must first "submit to the county legislative body in which the city or town is located a written notice of the intent to submit the opinion question to the residents of the city or town;" and

WHEREAS, State law gives the County sixty days from receipt of the City's notice to provide the City with either (1) a resolution stating that the County does not seek to impose a countywide RAMP tax, or (2) a written notice that the County will submit an opinion question to County voters as to whether the County should impose a county wide RAMP tax; and

WHEREAS, the City may proceed with its RAMP tax election if the County indicates that it does not seek to impose a countywide RAMP tax; the City may not proceed with the RAMP tax election if the County gives the City written notice that the County will have its own RAMP tax election; and

WHEREAS, the Kaysville City Council intends to proceed with an election to establish a RAMP tax, and thus desires to provide Davis County with notice of the City's intent as required by law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF KAYSVILLE, UTAH:

1. Kaysville City Council hereby declares its intent to submit an opinion question to Kaysville City residents as to whether Kaysville City should impose a local sales and use tax of 0.1 percent to finance recreation, arts, museums, and park facilities, and the operating expenses of these proposed facilities within Kaysville City.
2. Kaysville City hereby gives the Davis County Commission notice of its intent, and respectfully requests Davis County respond to this notice as required by law.
3. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Kaysville, Utah, this ____ day of _____, 2021.

ATTEST:

Katie Witt, Mayor

Annemarie Plaizier, City Recorder



SETTLED IN 1850

Davis County Commissioners
Davis County Administration Building
60 South Main Street, Suite 301
Farmington, Utah 84025

June 4, 2021

RE: Notice of Intent to Submit RAMP Tax Opinion Question to Residents of Kaysville

Dear County Commissioners:

The Kaysville City Council has expressed interest in beginning the process to submit an opinion question to the residents of Kaysville City as to whether Kaysville City should authorize the imposition of a local sales and use tax of on specified transactions within the City to fund cultural, recreational, and zoological facilities and botanical, cultural, and zoological organizations, referred to herein as the "RAMP Tax."

Before the City may submit an opinion question to the residents of Kaysville City, the City is required, pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 59-12-1402 to provide the Davis County Commissioners with written notice of the City's intent to submit the opinion question to its residents. This letter, as authorized by the Kaysville City Council, constitutes Kaysville's Notice of Intent to Davis County of the City's intent to proceed with an opinion questions regarding the RAMP Tax at the next general municipal election to be held on November 2, 2021.

Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 59-12-1402, the County has sixty (60) days after receipt of the Notice of Intent to provide Kaysville City with either (1) a written resolution passed by the County Commission stating the Davis County is not seeking to impose a countywide RAMP Tax; or (2) a written notice that Davis County will submit an opinion questions to county residents regarding the imposition of a countywide RAMP Tax.

Thank you for your consideration of and attention to this matter. We look forward to hearing from you regarding this matter so that Kaysville can move forward with pursuing the RAMP Tax.

Sincerely,

Katie Witt
Mayor
Kaysville City, Utah



STAFF REPORT



COUNCIL MEETING DATE: June 3, 2021

TYPE OF ITEM: Work

SUBJECT/AGENDA TITLE: Portions of City Parcels needed for Highway 89

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

At the request of staff, UDOT has had a third party appraise 3 City-owned parcels located along the Highway 89 frontage roads. Portions of these parcels are needed for the frontage roads, but the remainder of the affected parcels will remain intact and usable by the City.

The first location is along the City's property on Green Rd in Fruit Heights, the second is in front of the Lower Pasture Tank entrance and the third is where the now-demolished West Crestwood Water Tank used to sit (this tank was replaced in the Orchard Ridge subdivision).

In total, the three parcels make up 1.62 acres, and UDOT's appraisals of the portions needed total \$520,100.

Council Options: 1) No Action necessary at this time.

Recommended Options: No recommended options at this time.

Fiscal Impact & Fund Source for Recommended Action: No Fiscal Impact at this time.

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



COUNCIL MEETING DATE: June 3, 2021

TYPE OF ITEM: WORK

PRESENTED BY: - Mayor Katie Witt

SUBJECT/AGENDA TITLE: - Agreement with Fruit Heights for Fire Services

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Kaysville City has been providing Fire/EMS Services to Fruit Heights through an agreement for decades. Over the past 4-5 years, this agreement has lapsed and there has been some dispute between the cities on the costs of said service. In March, Shayne Scott sent a breakdown of the anticipated costs and expectations to continue providing Fire/EMS services to Fruit Heights. Mayor Witt and Mayor Pohlman have met several times and at times with elected officials from both cities to discuss the details of the proposal and find common ground.

This agenda item is to discuss the common ground reached by both mayors and for Kaysville City Council to discuss and potentially adopt a Resolution outlying the understanding between the two communities for both Fiscal Year 2021 as well as Fiscal Year 2022.

Council Options: Discuss the proposal and move the item to Action on June 17, 2021.
 Remand the Proposal back to staff with recommendations and suggestions.

Recommended Options: - Move the recommendation to Action as proposed.

Fiscal impact & Fund Source for Recommended Action: This item would put Fruit Heights under contract for 2021 and 2022 to provide Fire/EMS services.

Attachments: - Resolution

RESOLUTION 21-XX-XX

**A RESOLUTION EXTENDING FIRE SERVICE TO FRUIT HEIGHTS,
ACCEPTING THE AMOUNTS FRUIT HEIGHTS CITY HAS OFFERED FOR
CONTINUING SERVICE, AND RATIFYING ANY ACTION TAKEN
HERETOFORE IN FURTHERANCE OF THIS RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, Kaysville City (the “City”) has a long-standing relationship providing fire service to Fruit Heights City; and

WHEREAS, Fruit Heights City recognizes that the Kaysville City Fire Department provides outstanding service to the residents of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to demonstrate their commitment to continuing the relationship with Fruit Heights City for the time being; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to formally extend the provision of fire services to Fruit Heights City; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to formally indicate that the amounts offered by Fruit Heights City are acceptable; and

WHEREAS, it is deemed to be in the best interest of the citizens of the City to adopt and approve the course of action outlined below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF KAYSVILLE, UTAH:

1. The City Council formally declares that the Fire Department will continue to provide service through the Fiscal Year 2022.
2. The City Council should anticipate that Fruit Heights City will appropriate the following amounts for ongoing fire service in their budgeting process:
 - a. \$220,000 for Fiscal Year 2021;
 - b. \$280,000 for Fiscal Year 2022.The City Council desires to acknowledge that these amounts are acceptable.
3. Any action taken heretofore in furtherance of this resolution is hereby ratified.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of Kaysville City, Utah, this ____ day of _____, 2021.

Katie Witt, Mayor

ATTEST:

Annemarie Plaizier, City Recorder

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



COUNCIL MEETING DATE: June 3, 2021

TYPE OF ITEM: WORK

PRESENTED BY: - Shayne Scott

SUBJECT/AGENDA TITLE: - ARP Funds Discussion

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The purpose of this WORK item is to report what Staff recommendations are when it comes to spending American Recovery Plan funds as part of federal legislation. The details of several staff interactions and study of Treasury guidance is the following recommendation:

Fire - \$101,000

\$41,000 – Upgrade to new ambulance to “fund COVID-19 mitigation efforts including medical expenses, and capital investment in public facilities to meet pandemic operational needs”

\$40,000 – Upgrade radios for “enhancement of public health data systems, capital investments in public facilities to meet pandemic operational needs, and public health surveillance under **SUPPORTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH RESPONSE**”

\$20,000 - Upgrade to locker locations to “fund COVID-19 mitigation efforts including capital investment in public facilities to meet pandemic operational needs”

Water - \$1,500,000

The following are 4 projects in priority order from highest to lowest and qualify under the **INVEST IN WATER, SEWER, AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE** section.

\$700,000 – Upgrade Pressure Reducing Valves (PRVs) city wide.

\$350,000 – New Well

\$100,000 – Mobile Generator for chlorine stations

\$350,000 – Automated Flushers

Fiber - \$1,500,000

Please see attached spreadsheet for details on spending around Fiber projects in our city. The effort here is to benefit the city with connectivity to city facilities that hopefully will open up opportunities for “increased connectivity city wide under the **INVEST IN WATER, SEWER, AND BROADBAND INFRASTRUCTURE** section including investments in broadband made in areas that are currently underserved while being encouraged to prioritize projects that achieve last-mile connections to households and businesses” The direction also “encourages recipients to pursue fiber optic investments”. As you can see from the attached spreadsheet, the vast majority of spending directly benefits WATER and SEWER related infrastructure. While Ryan has expressed interest in more projects than \$1.5M can fund, the consensus of the staff was to allocate this amount toward his plans and ask him to do his best to utilize our existing infrastructure and contractors currently working in the city to maximize the dollars allocated. Ryan believes he can do much of what he has proposed with these funds and Josh is also excited about the direct impact these fiber funds will have on his water department.

Police Department - \$600,000

\$25,000 – Upgrade radio connectivity for “enhancement of public health data systems, capital investments in public facilities to meet pandemic operational needs, and public health surveillance under

SUPPORTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH RESPONSE”

\$75,000 - Upgrade the Emergency Operations Center with data connectivity for “enhancement of public health data systems, capital investments in public facilities to meet pandemic operational needs, and public health surveillance under **SUPPORTING THE PUBLIC HEALTH RESPONSE”**. This one is a little on the fence and I would like to vet this one out a little more. A clear valid use for CARES ACT funds but ARP funds may be a little too strict for this one. If one has to go for budget reasons this one is also the first on my list for the same reasons.

\$500,000 – Hiring of a Full Time mental health professional. “For Public health, human services.....funds to cover full payroll and covered benefits costs for employees dedicated to COVID-19 response. Services to address behavioral healthcare needs exacerbated by the pandemic, including mental health treatment, behavioral health services, crisis intervention, and services or outreach to promote access to health and social services”. The idea behind this new employee is to fund this program for 4 years to respond to staff and residents from a mental health perspective. So many times our police officers (and ems first responders) are asked to intervene in a mental health crisis when they often do not have the training to do so. This would be done under the caveat of a 4 year appointment.

We should be receiving the first half of the \$3.6+ million any day. The second half should come in one year and we have until 2026 to spend these funds. It would be our plan to spend all of the funds within two years except for the one employee in the PD that we would retain for 4 years.

Council Options: Discuss the proposal and move the item to Action on June 17, 2021.
 Remand the Proposal back to staff with recommendations and suggestions.

Recommended Options: - Move the recommendation to Action as proposed.

Fiscal impact & Fund Source for Recommended Action: This item is about how to spend federal funds that will funnel to the city and the impact of such should only be a boon to the systems in which the council decides to allocate said funding.

Attachments: - None
