



**Kaysville City Planning Commission
Meeting Notice and Agenda**

The Kaysville City Planning Commission will hold a regular meeting on August 27, 2026, at 7:00 PM in the Council Chambers of the Kaysville City Municipal Building located at 23 East Center Street. The public is encouraged to attend in person or may view the meeting online via www.Kaysvillelive.com.

1. Welcome and Meeting Order
2. Declaration of Conflict of Interest
3. Oath of Office for Dane Money as Kaysville City Planning Commission Alternate
4. Conditional Use Permit for a Major B Home Occupation for Natalie Whittier located at 433 North 300 East
5. Variance consideration for Nicolas Racker located at 768 Christopher Circle
6. Approval of the minutes from the August 13, 2026 Planning Commission Meeting
7. Other matters that properly come before the Planning Commission
 - a. Reports
 - b. Correspondence
 - c. Calendar
8. Adjournment

On a Notice of Meeting was posted in accordance with Utah State Code Section 52-4-202 (3).

Kaysville City is dedicated to a policy of non-discrimination in admission to, access to, or operations of its programs, services, or activities. If you need special assistance due to a disability, please contact the Kaysville City Offices at (801) 546-1235 at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting to be held.

Mindi Edstrom
Community Development Department


PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

To: Kaysville City Planning Commission
From: Mindi Edstrom, Business License Officer
Date: August 19, 2026

Agenda Item #4: Conditional Use Permit for a Major B Home Occupation for Natalie Whittier located at 433 North 300 East

Meeting Date	August 27, 2026
Application Type	Conditional Use Permit
Applicant Owner	Natalie Whittier Garden Gate Preschool LLC
Address Parcel ID Number	433 North 300 East 11-156-0086
Lot Size	0.26 11,325.6 ft ²
Current Use Zone	Single Family Dwelling R-1-8
Conditional Use	Major B Home Occupation

1. BACKGROUND




The applicant, Natalie Whittier is requesting approval of a Conditional Use Permit for a Major Home Occupation B to operate Garden Gate Preschool from her residence located at 433 North 300 East. The property is located within the R-1-8 (Single Family Residential) zoning district.

The applicant proposes to operate a small preschool from her residence under the business name Garden

Gate Preschool. The preschool will operate Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. with approximately eight (8) to nine (9) students attending.

The applicant has indicated that parents will utilize the private driveway for student drop-off and pick-up. Drop-off and pick-up should be managed so vehicles do not block neighboring driveways, mailboxes,





sidewalks, fire hydrants, or interfere with the normal flow of traffic along 300 East.

Natalie Whittier will be the only employee of Garden Gate Preschool. Therefore, the business will not generate additional daily traffic or parking demand from outside employees.

2. PUBLIC NOTICING AND PUBLIC COMMENT

A sign was placed on the property on August 21, 2026.

3. RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the Conditional Use Permit for Natalie Whittier to operate Garden Gate Preschool, a Major Home Occupation B, at 433 North 300 East, subject to the conditions outlined found in [KCC 17-30-8](#).

Findings for a recommendation of approval include:

- A. The proposed use is consistent with the [KCC 17-26-4 Major Home Occupation B](#) regulations for Contractors.
- B. The applicant has been made aware of Major B Home Occupation regulations and has agreed to comply.
- C. Garden Gate Preschool shall have no more than twelve (12) students in any class, consistent with the requirements for a Major Home Occupation B.
- D. Student drop-off and pick-up shall be managed in a manner that minimizes congestion and impacts to neighboring residential properties.
- E. The application has been reviewed by staff and the business is compliant with City Zoning and the Utah State Department of Commerce.
- F. Any expansion or change in the nature of the business operations shall require additional review and approval.
- G. Only one truck and one concrete pump truck shall be stored on-site.
- H. The business will not have an adverse effect on the use, enjoyment, or valuation of property in the neighborhood as regulated by [KCC 17-26-4 Major Home Occupation B](#) regulations.

PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

To: Kaysville City Planning Commission
From: Katie Ellis, Assistant City Attorney
Date: August 11, 2026

Agenda Item #:

Meeting Date	August 27, 2026
Application Type	Variance
Applicant	Nicholas Racker

1. BACKGROUND

The applicant requests a variance from the required 30-foot primary building setback to permit an addition connecting an existing detached accessory structure to the principal dwelling. The accessory structure was lawfully constructed in compliance with the setback requirements applicable to accessory buildings. The requested variance would allow the connecting addition to extend into the required back yard setback applicable to the principal structure.

Under Utah Code § 10-20-1102, the applicant bears the burden of proving that each statutory criterion for a variance has been satisfied. A variance may be granted only if all required findings can be made.

2. ANALYSIS

An appeal authority may only grant a variance if 1) literal enforcement of the ordinance would cause an unreasonable hardship for the applicant that is not necessary to carry out the general purpose of the land use ordinances; 2) there are special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to other properties in the same zone; 3) granting the variance is essential to the enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the same zone; 4) the variance will not substantially affect the general plan and will not be contrary to the public interest; and 5) the spirit of the land use ordinance is observed and substantial justice done. Utah Code § 10-20-1102. Each of these criteria is analyzed below.

1. Literal enforcement of the ordinance would cause an unreasonable hardship that is not necessary to carry out the general purpose of the land use ordinances.

The applicant contends that enforcement of the 30-foot setback prevents the existing accessory structure from being physically connected to the principal dwelling. While the setback requirement limits the applicant's preferred design, the evidence does not demonstrate an unreasonable hardship as contemplated by Utah Code § 10-20-1102. The property is currently

developed with both a principal residence and an accessory structure that each comply with the City's zoning regulations. The ordinance does not prevent the reasonable use of the property as a residential lot, nor does it prevent continued use of the existing accessory structure.

A hardship is not unreasonable if it is self-imposed or purely economic. *Kaysville City Code Section 17-4-6.2.b.ii.* The hardship identified by the applicant is the inability to convert a detached accessory building into an attached portion of the principal dwelling while maintaining the building's current location; thus the "hardship" arises from the self-imposed condition of the applicant's voluntary decision to physically connect the two buildings. Furthermore, the purpose of the principal building setback is to establish consistent building placement along public streets, preserve neighborhood character, and maintain separation between principal structures and the public right-of-way. Requiring compliance with that setback directly advances these purposes and is necessary to carry out the general purpose of the land use ordinance. Accordingly, this criterion has not been established.

2. Special circumstances are attached to the property that do not generally apply to other properties in the same zone.

The applicant argues that the property is uniquely burdened because it is a corner lot with frontage on two public streets, thereby reducing the buildable envelope.

The property's status as a corner lot is a circumstance associated with the property itself and distinguishes it from many interior lots within the zone. Corner lots are subject to additional setback requirements due to their dual street frontage, and those requirements necessarily reduce the available area for construction. However, Utah Code § 10-20-1102 requires more than the existence of unique physical characteristics. The special circumstances must relate to the alleged hardship and deprive the property of privileges enjoyed by other similarly zoned properties.

Although the corner lot creates additional setback constraints, those constraints are intentionally imposed by the zoning ordinance and apply uniformly to all corner lots within the zone. The applicant has not demonstrated that the property is uniquely burdened beyond what is ordinarily experienced by similarly situated corner lots. Therefore, while the corner lot configuration constitutes a physical characteristic of the property, it does not, by itself, establish the statutory special circumstances necessary to justify a variance.

3. Granting the variance is essential to the enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by other property in the same zone.

The applicant argues that owners of interior lots may connect accessory structures to their homes while corner lot owners generally cannot.

The relevant inquiry is not whether other properties can more easily develop additions, but whether the requested variance is necessary for this property to enjoy a substantial property right generally possessed by other properties in the same zone. All properties in the zone possess the same legal right to construct buildings that comply with applicable setback requirements. Interior lots may have greater design flexibility because of their physical configuration, but that difference does not establish that connecting an existing detached accessory structure is a substantial property right guaranteed to every property owner.

Moreover, the applicant continues to possess the same residential use rights as other property owners, including use of the existing residence and accessory structure and the ability to connect an accessory structure to a main structure if appropriate setbacks are met. The inability to connect the existing structures without encroaching into the required setback does not deprive the property of a substantial property right. This criterion has not been met.

4. The variance will not substantially affect the General Plan and will not be contrary to the public interest.

The applicant asserts that the requested variance does not affect the general plan and is not contrary to the public interest because it would not alter the visual character of the neighborhood as the accessory structure already exists.

The existing accessory building is not the subject of the requested variance. Rather, the variance would authorize expansion of the principal structure into an area where principal buildings are otherwise prohibited. Although the visual impact may be limited because much of the structure already exists, principal building setbacks serve purposes extending beyond aesthetics, including preservation of consistent building lines, protection of the streetscape, traffic visibility, and orderly implementation of zoning standards.

While the requested variance may have only a limited effect on the surrounding neighborhood, the appeal authority should carefully consider whether reducing the principal building setback at this location is consistent with the City's General Plan and whether granting the request would undermine uniform application of setback standards for similarly situated properties.

5. The spirit of the land use ordinance is observed and substantial justice done.

Granting a variance should remain an extraordinary remedy reserved for circumstances where strict application of the ordinance would produce an exceptional hardship satisfying all statutory requirements.

Because the applicant has not demonstrated the statutory findings necessary to justify a variance, granting the request would effectively create an exception based upon a preferred design rather than the extraordinary circumstances contemplated by Utah Code § 10-20-1102. Maintaining the required principal building setback preserves the purposes of the ordinance while treating similarly situated properties consistently. Accordingly, the spirit of the ordinance is best observed by applying the setback requirement as adopted.

3. RECOMMENDATION

Utah Code § 10-20-1102 requires the applicant to prove every statutory element before a variance may be granted. A local authority has no discretion to grant a variance unless the applicant meets each prong of the five-factor test. *Wells v. Board of Adjustment of Salt Lake City Corp.*, 936 P.2d 1102, 1104 (1997). Based on the information presented, the applicant has not demonstrated that literal enforcement of the ordinance creates an unreasonable hardship, that there are special circumstances attached to the property that do not generally apply to other properties in the same zone; that the variance is necessary for enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by others

in the zone; or that the variance has no substantial effect on the general plan and is not contrary to the public interest.

Because each statutory criterion must be satisfied, the appeal authority lacks a sufficient legal basis to approve the requested variance. Staff therefore recommends that the variance application be denied.

4. ATTACHMENTS

- A. Racker Variance Application
- B. Picture of Accessory Building
- C. Accessory Building plans

Statement of Justification for Variance Application

Property: 768 W. Christopher Circle, Kaysville, UT

Applicant: Nicholas Racker

I am requesting a variance from the 30-foot rear yard setback required for a “main building” under the R-A zone, Kaysville City Code. My property currently has a legally permitted detached accessory structure sitting at 21.25 feet from the rear property line, compliant as a detached accessory building.

I wish to connect this structure to my primary residence via a covered breezeway, which under KCC 17-2-2 causes the two structures to be treated as “one main building,” triggering the 30-foot main-building setback rather than the accessory building standard. This application requests a variance of approximately 8.75 feet from that setback.

I would like to point out that what I’m asking to construct, the covered breezeway, is completely internal on my lot, and does not impact the setbacks at all. The accessory structure, which was approved and has been built, is already constructed, as shown by the included photos. So I’m not proposing changing anything, it’s just that because of the application of the code, adding the connected breezeway technically modifies the setbacks. I would like a variance from that technical application of the code, as I believe it is an unreasonable application in this instance.

i. Unreasonable hardship: Literal enforcement of the 30-foot main-building setback would prevent me from connecting an already-approved, already-constructed accessory structure to my home, a use other similarly situated property owners in the R-A zone can access without difficulty, solely because of the geometry of my specific lot. This is not necessary to carry out the underlying purpose of the setback requirement, which is to preserve adequate separation between structures and property lines, a purpose already substantially met given the structure’s existing 21.25-foot clearance.

ii. Special circumstances peculiar to the property: My property is a corner lot with frontage on two public streets. This subjects the lot to setback requirements on more than one side, materially reducing the buildable envelope compared to a standard interior lot of the same size within the same zone. This is a physical characteristic of the lot itself, not a condition general to the surrounding neighborhood, and not self-created or economic in nature.

Furthermore, although pursuant to the code the breezeway technically converts the accessory structure to “one main building,” the practical facts demonstrate that this is an unreasonable and too-strict reading of the code. The accessory structure complies with the code and a building permit was issued. Connecting it to my home by means of a breezeway simply makes it available to walk through the elements. It is not an addition to the home, and so such a strict reading of the code imposes an unreasonable hardship, as I am just trying to create a situation where we can reach the accessory structure in all seasons.

iii. Essential to enjoyment of a substantial property right possessed by others in the zone: Other R-A property owners not similarly constrained by corner-lot geometry are able to connect accessory structures to their primary residences without needing a variance. The corner-lot configuration is the only barrier preventing me from enjoying that same right.

Additionally, others in the Zone have been allowed construction within the 30 foot setback requirement. As was noted in the planning commission meeting for the rezone (held on May 28, 2026), there are other neighboring lots in the R-A zone that have been allowed to build within the required setback. The structure at issue exists, it was approved, and connecting a breezeway is only intended to allow us to use the accessory building in all seasons.

iv. No substantial effect on the general plan; not contrary to public interest: The variance does not introduce a new use, increase density, or alter the character of the neighborhood. The accessory structure has already been reviewed and approved by the city under existing code. The variance solely affects the classification of an existing, already-approved structure relative to a setback line, not its use, size, or appearance.

I originally sought to rezone the property from R-A to R-1-20, because the setbacks in that zone would accommodate the requested breezeway. Although the planning commission recommended approval of that rezone, it was denied by the City Council, largely on the basis that they considered it a “spot” rezone, despite the fact that the neighborhood adjacent to my property to the north is R-1-20. My only objective in the rezone was to accommodate the construction of the breezeway. Although a rezone might have impacted the neighborhood, a variance would not. The accessory structure is already there. The addition of a breezeway is nothing more than a small pathway on the interior of my lot, and will have no additional impact on the neighbors.

v. Spirit of the ordinance observed; substantial justice done: I consulted with the City for over a year prior to submitting building permit applications, in a good-faith effort to ensure full compliance with all applicable code, and the accessory structure was reviewed and approved by the City’s building department under existing code. The purpose of the setback requirement is to preserve adequate separation between structures and property lines and to maintain the character of the neighborhood; both purposes remain fully served here, since the structure’s location does not change and the neighborhood’s visual character is unaffected by a breezeway connecting two already-existing, already-approved structures on the interior of my own lot. Denying this variance would not serve the purpose the ordinance was designed to protect, while imposing a real hardship given the investment already made in good-faith reliance on the City’s prior approvals. Granting the variance allows substantial justice to be done without undermining any interest the ordinance was intended to protect.





ABBREVIATIONS

A	AMPS	EL	ELEVATION	MAX	MAXIMUM	SD	SMOKE DETECTOR
AFF	ABOVE FINISH FLOOR	ELEC	ELECTRICAL	MDF	MEDIUM DENSITY	SECT	SECTION
AFG	ABOVE FINISH GRADE	ELEV	ELEVATOR		FIBERBOARD	SG	SAFETY GLASS
AL	ALUMINUM	EQ	EQUAL	MECH	MECHANICAL	SGD	SLIDING GLASS DOOR
ALT	ALTERNATE	EQUIP	EQUIPMENT	MED	MEDIUM	SH	SHEET
ASPH	ASPHALT	EST	ESTIMATE	MFR	MANUFACTURER	SIM	SIMILAR
AVG	AVERAGE	EXH	EXHAUST	MIN	MINIMUM	SPECS	SPECIFICATIONS
AWG	AMERICAN WIRE GAGE	FA	FIRE ALARM	MISC	MISCELLANEOUS	SPKR	SPEAKER
BKR	CIRCUIT BREAKER	FC	FIBER CEMENT	MTL	METAL	SF	SQUARE FEET
BRG	BEARING	NIC	FLOOR DRAIN	NTL	NOT IN CONTRACT	SI	SQUARE INCH
C	CEILING MOUNTED	FG	FIBERGLASS	NTS	NOT TO SCALE	STD	STANDARD
CIP	CAST IN PLACE	FDN	FOUNDATION	NO	NUMBER	STL	STEEL
CJ	CONTROL JOINT	FIN	FINISH	NOM	NOMINAL	SUSP	SUSPENDED
CAB	CABINET	FL	FLOOR	OC	ON-CENTER	SYS	SYSTEM
CKT	CIRCUIT BREAKER	FTG	FOOTING	CHD	OVERHEAD DOOR	T&G	TONQUE AND GROOVE
CLG	CEILING	GA	GAUGE	OPNG	OPENING	TEMP	TEMPERED GLASS
CLR	CLEAR	GAR	GARAGE	PL	PROPERTY LINE	TOB	TOP OF BEAM
CO	CARBON MONOXIDE	GFI	GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT	PL	PLATE	TOF	TOP OF FOOTING
COL	COLUMN		INTERRUPTER	PLY	PLYWOOD	TOW	TOP OF WALL
COMB	COMBINATION	GL	GLASS	PSF	POUNDS PER SQUARE	TV	TELEVISION
CONC	CONCRETE	GLB	GLU-LAM BEAM		FOOT	TYP	TYPICAL
CONST	CONSTRUCTION	GYP	GYPSUM	PSI	POUNDS PER SQUARE	UNO	UNLESS NOTED
CONT	CONTINUOUS	HB	HOSE BIBB		INCH		OTHERWISE
CU	COPPER	HOZ	HORIZONTAL	PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE	VB	VAPOR BARRIER
DW	DISHWASHER	IG	ISOLATED GROUND	PWR	POWER	VA	VOLT AMP
DBL	DOUBLE	INSUL	INSULATION	QTY	QUANTITY	VERT	VERTICAL
DEMO	DEMOLITION	INT	INTERIOR	R	RADIUS	WC	WATER CLOSET
DIA	DIAMETER	J BOX	JUNCTION BOX	RO	ROUGH OPENING	WP	WEATHERPROOF
DIM	DIMENSION	JCT	JUNCTION	REF	REFRIGERATOR	WT	WEIGHT
DN	DOWN	LED	LIGHT EMITTING DIODE	REF	REFERENCE	W/	WITH
DR	DOOR	LF	LINEAR FEET	REINF	REINFORCED	W/O	WITHOUT
EF	EXHAUST FAN	LAT	LATERAL	REQD	REQUIRED	WH	WATER HEATER
EW	EACH WAY	LT	LIGHT	REV	REVISION	WIC	WALK-IN-CLOSET
EA	EACH	LTG	LIGHTING	RM	ROOM		

GENERAL PLUMBING, GAS & HVAC NOTES:

- ALL PLUMBING INSTALLATIONS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE IRC.
- ALL PLUMBING VENTS THROUGH THE ROOF TO BE MINIMUM 3" PIPE.
- PROVIDE EXPANSION TANK ON THE CULINARY WATER SYSTEM.
- WATER HEATER TO BE ANCHORED OR STRAPPED IN THE UPPER THIRD AND LOWER ONE-THIRD OF THE APPLUANCE TO RESIST A HORIZONTAL FORCE EQUAL TO ONE THIRD OF THE OPERATING WEIGHT. INSTALL PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- ALL MECHANICAL INSTALLATIONS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE IRC & IFGC.
- PROVIDE COMBUSTION AIR FOR ALL FUEL-BURNING APPLIANCES AT A MINIMUM RATE OF 1 SQ INCH PER 3000 BTU/HOUR INPUT. THE ONE OPENING MUST BE IN THE TOP 12 INCHES OF THE ROOM. PROVIDE MINIMUM 1 INCH CLEARANCE AROUND EQUIPMENT AT SIDES AND REAR OF APPLIANCE. PROVIDE MINIMUM 6 INCH CLEARANCE IN FRONT OF THE APPLIANCE.
- HOT WATER SUPPLIED TO BATHTUBS AND WHIRL POOL TUBS SHALL BE LIMITED TO 120 DEGREES MAX BY A WATER TEMPERATURE LIMITING DEVICE (ASSE 1070) OR BY AN APPROVED COMBO TUB/SHOWER VALVE.
- EQUIPMENT AND APPLIANCES HAVING AN IGNITION SOURCE SHALL BE ELEVATED SUCH THAT THE SOURCE OF IGNITION IS NOT LESS THAN 18 INCHES ABOVE THE FLOOR IN HAZARDOUS LOCATIONS AND PUBLIC GARAGES, PRIVATE GARAGES, AND PARKING GARAGES, ROOMS OR SPACES THAT ARE NOT PART OF THE LIVING SPACE OF A DWELLING UNIT AND THAT COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY WITH A PRIVATE GARAGE THROUGH OPENINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE PART OF THE PRIVATE GARAGE.
- APPLIANCES LOCATED IN PRIVATE GARAGES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A MIN. CLEARANCE TO 6 FEET ABOVE THE FLOOR, EXCEPT WHERE THE APPLIANCE IS PROTECTED FROM MOTOR VEHICLE IMPACT.

GENERAL ELECTRICAL NOTES:

- CONCRETE ENCASED ELECTRODE REQUIRED AS PER IRC.
- ALL RECEPTACLES TO BE TAMPER RESISTANT.
- RECEPTACLES IN BATHROOM, KITCHEN, GARAGE, OR LOCATED OUTDOORS SHALL BE PROTECTED BY A GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPT SYSTEM (GFI).
- SMOKE DETECTORS SHALL BE PLACED NO MORE THAN 12" FROM THE CEILING. SMOKE DETECTORS ARE REQUIRED PER IRC. INCLUDING EACH SLEEPING AREA AND OUTSIDE EACH SLEEPING AREA. WHEN MORE THAN ONE SMOKE ALARM IS REQUIRED TO BE INSTALLED, THE DEVICES SHALL BE INTERCONNECTED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE ACTIVATION OF ONE ALARM WILL ACTIVATE ALL ALARMS.
- CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE IRC.
- ARC FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPTER PROTECTION NOT REQUIRED PER UTAH AMENDED CODE.
- ALL LIGHTING FIXTURES IN CLOTHES CLOSETS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE IRC.
- VENT DRYER WITH 4" METAL DUCTING AND TERMINATE WITH METAL TERMINATION CAP. DRYER VENT LENGTH MUST MEET REQUIREMENTS OF IRC.
- A MINIMUM OF 75 PERCENT OF THE LAMPS IN PERMANENTLY INSTALLED LIGHTING FIXTURES SHALL BE HIGH-EFFICACY LAMPS.
- BATH VENTS SHALL VENT TO THE OUTSIDE.

SOIL CONDITION NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR SHALL REFER TO SOILS REPORT PRIOR TO EXCAVATION. COORDINATE ANY FOUNDATION DRAINS, SOIL BEARING PRESSURE, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS WITH THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL.
- GUTTERS SHALL EXTEND AND DISCHARGE BEYOND THE AREA OF BACK FILL.
- ALL ORGANICS, TOPSOIL, EXISTING FILL AND OTHER DELETERIOUS MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED FROM PROPOSED BUILDING AREAS. UNDOCUMENTED FILL LEFT BELOW PAVEMENT AND FLATWORK AREAS COULD LEAD TO ADVERSE LONG TERM SETTLEMENT.

GENERAL PLAN ELEVATION NOTES:

- DO NOT SCALE ELEVATION DRAWINGS.
- ALL RAILS MUST BE 36" HIGH AND SHALL NOT ALLOW A 4" SPHERE TO PASS BETWEEN BALUSTERS.
- STAGGER VERTICAL JOINTS OF PLANK.
- CONCRETE FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL EXTEND ABOVE FINISHED GRADE ADJACENT TO THE FOUNDATION WALL AT ALL POINTS A MINIMUM OF 6" PER IRC.
- ASPHALT SHINGLES AND UNDERLAYMENT SHALL BE USED PER IRC BASED ON SLOPE OF ROOF AND WIND RESISTANCE.
- GUTTERS MUST OCCUR OVER DOORS AND WINDOW WELLS. COORDINATE WITH CONTRACTOR/OWNER FOR OTHER LOCATIONS.
- MASONRY TO NOT TERMINATE ON OUTSIDE CORNER, UNLESS APPROVED BY CONTRACTOR/OWNER.
- PROVIDE 24" O.C. BLOCKING FOR VERTICAL SIDING PER IRC.

GENERAL ROOF NOTES:

- ROOF COVERINGS SHALL BE INSTALLED ACCORDING TO THE IRC. SEE IRC FOR APPROPRIATE UNDERLAYMENT APPLICATION AND ATTACHMENT BASED ON ROOF COVERING MATERIAL, ROOF SLOPE, WIND SPEED, ETC. PROVIDE ICE SHIELD EXTENDING FROM THE EAVES TO A POINT AT LEAST 24 OR 36 INCHES (DEPENDING ON SLOPE) INSIDE THE EXTERIOR WALL LINE.
- ROOF VALLEYS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER LINING REQUIREMENTS OF IRC.
- BASE FLASHING AGAINST A VERTICAL SIDEWALL SHALL BE CONTINUOUS OR STEP FLASHING AND SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 4" IN HEIGHT OR WIDTH AND SHALL DIRECT WATER AWAY FROM THE VERTICAL SIDEWALL ONTO THE ROOF OR GUTTER. SEE IRC FOR CONDITIONS SPECIFIC TO THE VERTICAL WALL BUILDING MATERIAL.
- FLASHING SHALL BE INSTALLED IN A MANNER THAT PREVENTS MOISTURE FROM ENTERING THE WALL AND ROOF THROUGH JOINTS IN COPINGS, THROUGH MOISTURE PERMEABLE MATERIALS AND AT INTERSECTIONS WITH PARAPET WALLS AND OTHER PENETRATIONS THRU THE ROOF PLANE.
- CRICKETS AND SADDLES SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE RIDGE SIDE OF ANY CHIMNEY OR PENETRATION MORE THAN 30" WIDE. CRICKET AND SADDLE COVERINGS SHALL BE SHEET METAL OR THE SAME MATERIAL AS THE ROOF COVERING. SEE IRC FOR SKYLIGHT EXCEPTIONS.
- ROOF ASSEMBLIES SHALL BE OF MATERIALS THAT ARE COMPATIBLE WITH EACH OTHER AND WITH THE BUILDING OR STRUCTURE TO WHICH THE MATERIALS ARE APPLIED.
- PROVIDE CROSS VENTILATION FOR ENCLOSED ATTICS AND SPACES BETWEEN RAFTERS FOR EACH SEPARATE SPACE. VENTILATING OPENINGS SHALL BE PROTECTED AGAINST THE ENTRANCE OF RAIN OR SNOW. THE TOTAL NET FREE VENTILATING AREA SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 1/150 OF THE AREA OF THE SPACE VENTILATED. THIS MAY BE REDUCED TO NOT LESS THAN 1/300 IF NOT LESS THAN 40% AND NOT MORE THAN 50% OF THE REQUIRED VENTILATING AREA IS PROVIDED BY VENTILATORS LOCATED IN THE UPPER PORTION OF THE ATTIC OR RAFTER SPACE. UPPER VENTILATORS SHALL BE LOCATED NOT MORE THAN 3 FEET BELOW THE RIDGE OR HIGHEST POINT OF SPACE WITH THE BALANCE OF THE REQUIRED VENTILATION PROVIDED BY EAIVE OR CORNICE VENTS PER IRC. PROVIDE INSULATION BAFFLES IN ATTIC AT PERIMETER.
- PROVIDE A MINIMUM 4-MIL POLYETHYLENE VAPOR RETARDER OVER THE INSULATION ON THE INSIDE (WARM SIDE) OF ALL EXTERIOR WALLS AND ROOF CEILINGS.
- TRUSS MEMBERS AND COMPONENTS SHALL NOT BE CUT, DRILLED, NOTCHED, SPLICED OR OTHERWISE ALTERED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONCURRENCEAND APPROVAL OF REGISTERED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL.

GENERAL PLAN NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR/OWNER IS TO VERIFY ALL PLAN INFORMATION IS CORRECT PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL EXTERIOR WOOD FRAMED WALLS OF THE LIVING SPACE (INSULATED) ARE TO BE 2x6 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. EXTERIOR GARAGE WALLS TO BE 2x4. INTERIOR FRAMED WALLS TO BE 2x4 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- NOTE THAT ALL WRITTEN DIMENSIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SCALE.
- WORK SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (IRC) AND ALL LOCAL AND STATE ORDINANCES.
- COMPLIANCE WITH CODES AND ORDINANCES GOVERNING THE WORK SHALL BE MADE AND ENFORCED BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR.
- GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS FOR INSTALLATION OF MATERIALS, DEVICES, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT SHALL BE FOLLOWED.
- CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO SPECIFY AND COORDINATE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION:
 - SPECIFY ALL MATERIAL COLORS AND FINISHES.
 - COORDINATE SIZES OF ALL APPLIANCES AND EQUIPMENT TO ENSURE PROPER PLACEMENT AND FIT IN CABINETRY AND FRAMING.
 - SPECIFY APPROVED MANUFACTURERS.
 - COORDINATE AND SPECIFY TYPES OF WALL COVERINGS, PAINT, FLOORING, TRIM STYLES, PLUMBING FIXTURES, ELECTRICAL FIXTURES, HARDWARE, CABINETS, COUNTERTOPS, DOORS, WINDOWS, ETC.
- TOILET, BATH AND SHOWER FIXTURES SHALL BE SPACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH IRC.
- ALL EMERGENCY ESCAPE AND RESCUE OPENINGS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF IRC AND CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EGRESS WINDOWS MEET THESE REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO ORDERING.
- ALL WINDOW WELLS TO PROVIDE MINIMUM NET CLEAR OPENING OF 9 SQ FT WITH A MINIMUM DIMENSION OF 36 INCHES. PROVIDE PERMANENT LADDER IF WINDOW WELL IS MORE THAN 44 INCHES DEEP.
- LANDINGS AT EXTERIOR DOORS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF IRC. LANDING IS NOT REQUIRED AT STEPS FROM GARAGE TO HOUSE.
- ALL UNENCLOSED FLOOR AND ROOF OPENINGS, OPEN AND GLAZED SIDES OF LANDINGS AND STAIRS, BALCONIES AND PORCHES MORE THAN 30 INCHES ABOVE GRADE, AND ROOFS USED FOR OTHER THAN SERVICE OF THE BUILDING SHALL BE PROTECTED BY A GUARD. GUARDS SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 36 INCHES IN HEIGHT. OPEN GUARDS SHALL HAVE INTERMEDIATE RAILS OR AN ORNAMENTAL PATTERN SUCH THAT NO SPHERE 4 INCHES IN DIAMETER CAN PASS THROUGH.
- STAIRS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF IRC AND LAYOUT SHALL BE VERIFIED THAT IT MEETS CODE BY CONTRACTOR AND FRAMING SUB CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO BUILDING OF STAIRS.
- COORDINATE WITH CONTRACTOR/OWNER FOR ANY PLANS SHOWN WITH OPTIONS.
- TEMPERED GLASS SHALL BE PROVIDED IN: FRAMELESS GLASS DOORS, GLASS IN DOORS, GLASS WITHIN A 24" ARCH OF DOORS, GLAZING LESS THAN 60" ABOVE A WALKING SURFACE THAT IS WITHIN 5 FT OF STAIRS, OR GLAZING WITHIN 5 FT OF SPAS OR POOLS. CERTAIN FIXED GLASS PANELS, AND SIMILAR GLAZED OPENINGS SUBJECT OF HUMAN IMPACT.
- PROVIDE NOT LESS THAN ¼ INCH GYPSUM BOARD ON THE GARAGE SIDE OF THE WALL AND CEILING SEPARATING A GARAGE AND A DWELLING. WHERE THE SEPARATION IS A FLOOR-CEILING ASSEMBLY, THE ASSEMBLY AND THE STRUCTURE SUPPORTING THE SEPARATION SHALL BE PROTECTED WITH NOT LESS THAN 5/8 INCH TYPE X GYPSUM BOARD ON THE CEILING.
- SEE RESCHECK SUBMITTAL FOR INSULATION VALUES AND IECC COMPLIANCE. FINAL RESCHECK CERTIFICATE SHALL BE POSTED ON OR IN THE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION PANEL.
- DOORS FROM DWELLINGS TO THE GARAGE SHALL BE 1-3/8" THICK SOLID CORE OR 20 MIN FIRE RATED AND MUST BE SELF-CLOSING.
- ALL TUBS AND SHOWERS WITH TILED WALLS REQUIRE A PORTLAND CEMENT APPLICATION, FIBER CEMENT, OR GLASS MAT GYPSUM BACKER. GREEN BOARD IS NOT ALLOWED IN THIS APPLICATION.
- FIRE BLOCK STUD SPACES AT SOFFITS, FLOOR AND CEILING JOIST LINES, AT 10' HORIZONTALLY AND VERTICALLY AT FLOOR AND CEILING LINES, AND AT OPENINGS BETWEEN ATTIC SPACES AND CHIMNEY SPACES FOR FACTORY BUILT CHIMNEYS, AND AT ANY OTHER LOCATIONS NOT SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED WHICH COULD AFFORD PASSAGE FOR FLAMES.
- PROVIDE FLASHING OVER ALL EXPOSED WOOD CONNECTIONS (RIM JOIST CONNECTION TO STRUCTURE, DECK JOIST TO RIM JOIST, AND DECK JOIST TO DECK BEAMS) AND AT ANY WOOD PROJECTIONS FROM THE HOME PER IRC.

CODE ANALYSIS:

BUILDING:	2021 INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (IRC) AND STATE/LOCAL AMENDMENTS
MECHANICAL:	2021 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE (IBC) IF REQ.
ELECTRICAL:	2021 INTERNATIONAL MECHANICAL CODE (IMC)
PLUMBING:	2020 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE (NEC)
ENERGY:	2021 INTERNATIONAL PLUMBING CODE (IPC)
	2018 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE (IECC) AND UTAH AMENDMENTS
FIRE:	2021 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE (IFC)
	2021 INTERNATIONAL FUEL GAS CODE (IFGC)

INSULATION REQUIREMENTS:

PER RESCHECK:

EXTERIOR WALLS:	R-15 (2x4), R-19 (2x6)
ROOF/ATTIC:	R-38
CANTILEVERS:	R-30
UNFINISHED BASEMENT WALLS:	R-11 (CONTINUOUS)
FINISHED BASEMENT WALLS:	R-13 (CAVITY)
WALKOUT CONC. SLAB:	R-10 (CONTINUOUS) UNDER SLAB 24" MIN.
WINDOWS:	U-0.35 MAX.; LOW-E


SEE SUBMITTED RESCHECK FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

PROJECT DATA:

FOUNDATION HEIGHT:	SLAB ON GRADE
1ST FLOOR PLATE HEIGHT:	14'-0"22'-6"
INTERIOR WALL FRAMING:	2x4 U.N.O.
EXTERIOR GARAGE WALL FRAMING:	2x6 U.N.O.
SPORTS COURT:	1753 SF
MEZZANINE:	999 SF
AUTO SHOP:	999 SF
ENCLOSED STAIRS:	138 SF
DESIGNER:	J.H.
DRAFTER:	J.H.

SHEET INDEX:

G001:	GENERAL NOTES
A101:	MAIN FLOOR PLAN
A102:	UPPER FLOOR PLAN
A103:	FOUNDATION LAYOUT PLAN
A104:	ROOF LAYOUT PLAN
A201:	ELEVATIONS
A202:	ELEVATIONS
	GENERAL NOTES & DETAILS
E101:	MAIN FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN
E102:	UPPER FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONALS, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL, DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. ANY CHANGES TO THESE PLANS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND MUST BE APPROVED BY THE DESIGNER.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THESE PLANS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.
6. COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:

RACKER
GARAGE

ADDRESS:

768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE: 2026-03-06
LAST PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1484
PROJECT: CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:


GENERAL
NOTES

SHEET NUMBER:


G001

RACKER GARAGE
KAYSVILLE, UT





NARRATIVE:
A TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON DECEMBER 3, 2025. SUFFICIENT GROUND MEASUREMENTS WERE TAKEN TO PROVIDE ONE-FOOT CONTOUR LINES. ALL VISIBLE IMPROVEMENTS THAT WERE FOUND AND MEASURED ARE SHOWN HEREON. THE LOT LINES ARE SHOWN ACCORDING TO THE RECORDED SUBDIVISION PLAT ACQUIRED FROM THE DAVIS COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE BUT ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY AND IN NO WAY REPRESENTS A BOUNDARY SURVEY.



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE TERMS ARE NOT APPLICABLE TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE DESIGN TEAM IS TO ENSURE THE DRAWING CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE DESIGN TEAM IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION, AND WILL BE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES WITH THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL, DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM ALL APPLICABLE AGENCIES TO ASSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO CORRECT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR TO A PLAN ERROR, OMISSION, OR CONFLICT, OR IN PLACE TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.
6. THESE TERMS ARE IN REQUEST TO AVOID A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT © JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRINTS IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION

PLAN NAME:

RACKER
GARAGE


ADDRESS:
768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE: 2026-03-06
 AD PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1484
 ET: CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
 SHEET NAME:

SITE PLAN


SHEET NUMBER:

SP01



1 MAIN FLOOR PLAN

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244


THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.
COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
RACKER GARAGE

ADDRESS:
768 CHRISTOPHER CIR. KAYSVILLE, UT


DATE:
2026-03-06
JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SHEET NAME:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS. MAIN FLOOR PLAN

SHEET NUMBER:
A101



1 UPPER FLOOR PLAN

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION.
3. ANY CHANGES TO THESE PLANS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND APPROVED BY THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH
4. AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
5. STRICTLY COMPLIANT WITH ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
6. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
7. NO CHANGES TO THESE PLANS SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE DESIGNER.
8. NO CONFLICT OR REQUEST ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.
9. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO
10. HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN
11. THESE DRAWINGS.
12. COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART
13. WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:

**RACKER
GARAGE**

ADDRESS:

**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:
2026-03-06


JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484

SET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.


SHEET NAME:
**UPPER FLOOR
PLAN**

SHEET NUMBER:

A102



1 FOOTING & FOUNDATION LAYOUT
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.

PLAN NAME:
RACKER GARAGE


ADDRESS:
768 CHRISTOPHER CIR. KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE: 2026-03-06
JAD PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1484
SHEET NAME: CONSTRUCTION DOCS.


FOUNDATION LAYOUT

SHEET NUMBER:
A103

NOTE:
SEE FLOOR PLANS FOR ANY VAULTED OR TRAY CEILING LOCATIONS.



1 ROOF LAYOUT PLAN
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244


THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION.
3. ANY CHANGES TO THE PLANS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND APPROVED BY THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH
4. AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
6. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
7. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
8. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
9. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
10. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
11. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
12. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
13. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
14. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
15. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
16. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
17. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
18. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
19. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
20. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
21. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
22. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
23. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
24. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
25. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
26. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
27. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
28. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
29. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
30. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
31. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
32. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
33. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
34. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
35. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
36. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
37. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
38. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
39. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
40. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
41. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
42. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
43. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
44. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
45. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
46. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
47. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
48. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
49. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
50. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
51. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
52. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
53. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
54. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
55. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
56. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
57. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
58. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
59. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
60. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
61. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
62. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
63. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
64. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
65. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
66. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
67. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
68. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
69. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
70. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
71. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
72. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
73. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
74. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
75. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
76. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
77. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
78. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
79. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
80. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
81. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
82. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
83. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
84. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
85. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
86. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
87. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
88. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
89. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
90. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
91. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
92. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
93. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
94. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
95. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
96. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
97. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
98. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
99. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
100. PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE

PLAN NAME:
RACKER
GARAGE
ADDRESS:
768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT


DATE:
2026-03-06
JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:

ROOF
LAYOUT PLAN


SHEET NUMBER:
A104



1 FRONT ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



2 REAR ELEVATION
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
6. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.
COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
**RACKER
GARAGE**


ADDRESS:
**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:
2026-03-06
JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SHEET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.

SHEET NAME:
**FRONT & RIGHT
ELEVATIONS**


SHEET NUMBER:
A201

COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.



2 LEFT ELEVATION

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



3 RIGHT ELEVATION

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE TERMS ARE NOT PERMITTED TO BE USED UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS NOT REPRODUCED OR COPIED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE DESIGNER.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION, AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIALS, STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS, ETC.
3. CONTRACTOR IS TO ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY DESIGNER AT ANY POINT DURING CONSTRUCTION ABOUT A PLAN ERROR, OMISSION, OR CONFLICT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CORRECTING ANY SUCH ERRORS, OMISSIONS, OR CONFLICTS.

THESE TERMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROTECT THE INVESTMENT OF THE CONTRACTOR AND OWNER'S RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR OWNER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT © JEFF ADAMS DESIGN 62025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:

RACKER GARAGE

ADDRESS:

768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE:
2026-03-06

AD PROJECT NUMBER

2025-1484

CONSTRUCTION DOCS

HEET NAM

LEFT & REAR ELEVATIONS

SHEET NUMBER:



A202

GENERAL STAIR NOTES:

1. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY STAIR LAYOUT AND FRAMING PRIOR TO BUILDING OF STAIRS.
2. PROVIDE 2x12 STRINGERS AND 2x TREADS.
3. PROVIDE 5/8" GYP. BOARD @ USEABLE SPACE UNDER STAIRS
4. FIREBLOCK BETWEEN STUDS ALONG RUN & MIDPOINT BETWEEN STRINGERS
5. PROVIDE RISERS PER PLATE HEIGHT:
 - 5.1.1. 8" PLATE = 14 RISERS
 - 5.1.2. 9" PLATE = 16 RISERS
 - 5.1.3. 10" PLATE = 17 RISERS
6. PROVIDE A TREAD DEPTH OF 10" AND A RISER HEIGHT OF 7.75" MAXIMUM. 9" MINIMUM TREAD AND 9" MAXIMUM RISER ALLOWED ONLY IF APPROVED BY OWNER/GENERAL CONTRACTOR.
7. PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 6'-8" HEADROOM FROM STAIR TO CEILING.
8. TOP OF HANDRAILS SHALL BE PLACED BETWEEN 34" AND 38" ABOVE NOSING OF TREADS. THEY SHALL BE CONTINUOUS THE FULL LENGTH OF THE STAIRS. ENDS SHALL BE RETURNED OR SHALL TERMINATE IN NEWEL POSTS OR SAFETY TERMINALS. THE HANDGRIP PORTION OF THE HANDRAIL SHALL BE NOT LESS THAN 1-1/4" NOR MORE THAN 2-5/8" IN CROS-SECTION OR THE SHAPE SHALL PROVIDE A EQUIVALENT GRIPPING SURFACE. HANDRAILS PROJECTING FROM WALL SHALL HAVE A SPACE OF NOT LESS THAN 1.5" BETWEEN WALL AND THE HANDRAIL. IRC 311.7.8

3 STAIR DETAIL

NTS



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
2. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT.
3. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.
6. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

PLAN NAME:

RACKER
GARAGE

ADDRESS:

768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE:
2026-03-06

PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484

REVISION:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.

SHEET NAME:
GENERAL
NOTES & DETAILS


SHEET NUMBER:

A501

COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

ELECTRICAL SYMBOL LEGEND	
	SINGLE POLE SWITCH
	THREE-WAY SWITCH
	FOUR-WAY SWITCH
	DIMMER SWITCH
	CEILING MOUNTED FIXTURE
	CEILING MOUNTED FIXTURE w/ PULL CHAIN
	REINFORCED CEILING MOUNTED CHANDELIER
	WALL MOUNTED FIXTURE
	WALL MOUNTED FLOOD LIGHT
	RECESSED LIGHT
	WATERPROOF RECESSED LIGHT
	LIGHT & CEILING FAN REINFORCED
	2x4 LED LIGHT FIXTURE
	DUPLEX OUTLET
	FOURPLEX OUTLET
	SWITCHED OUTLET

	GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPTION OUTLET
	WEATHERPROOF OUTLET
	220V OUTLET
	FLOOR MOUNTED DUPLEX OUTLET
	CEILING MOUNTED DUPLEX OUTLET
	SPECIAL PURPOSE OUTLET
	JUNCTION BOX
	DOOR BELL CHIME
	TV JACK
	TELEPHONE/DATA OUTLET
	SPEAKER
	EXHAUST FAN
	DOOR BELL
	HOSE BIBB
	THERMOSTAT
	LOW VOLTAGE PUSH BUTTON
	MOTOR
	SECURITY MOTION DETECTOR
	SECURITY DOOR/WINDOW LOCK
	SMOKE DETECTOR
	CARBON MONOXIDE/SMOKE DETECTOR
	DIRECT GAS LINE



1 MAIN FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)

JAD

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. CONTRACTOR TO REQUEST ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.
6. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
RACKER GARAGE

ADDRESS:
768 CHRISTOPHER CIR. KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE:
2026-03-06

JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484


BY:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.

SHEET NAME:
MAIN FLOOR ELECTRICAL


SHEET NUMBER:
E101

GENERAL ELECTRICAL NOTES:

1. SEE SHEET E101 FOR ELECTRICAL SYMBOLS AND NOTES.



1 UPPER FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
3. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
4. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
5. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
6. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

PLAN NAME:
RACKER GARAGE

ADDRESS:
768 CHRISTOPHER CIR. KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE: 2026-03-06
PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1484
SHEET NAME: CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
UPPER FLOOR ELECTRICAL

SHEET NUMBER:
E102

ABBREVIATIONS

A	AMPS	EL	ELEVATION	MAX	MAXIMUM	SD	SMOKE DETECTOR
AFF	ABOVE FINISH FLOOR	ELEC	ELECTRICAL	MDF	MEDIUM DENSITY	SECT	SECTION
AFG	ABOVE FINISH GRADE	ELEV	ELEVATOR		FIBERBOARD	SG	SAFETY GLASS
AL	ALUMINUM	EQ	EQUAL	MECH	MECHANICAL	SGD	SLIDING GLASS DOOR
ALT	ALTERNATE	EQUIP	EQUIPMENT	MED	MEDIUM	SH	SHEET
ASPH	ASPHALT	EST	ESTIMATE	MFR	MANUFACTURER	SIM	SIMILAR
AVG	AVERAGE	EXH	EXHAUST	MIN	MINIMUM	SPECS	SPECIFICATIONS
AWG	AMERICAN WIRE GAGE	FA	FIRE ALARM	MISC	MISCELLANEOUS	SPKR	SPEAKER
BKR	CIRCUIT BREAKER	FC	FIBER CEMENT	MTL	METAL	SF	SQUARE FEET
BRG	BEARING	FD	FLOOR DRAIN	NIC	NOT IN CONTRACT	SI	SQUARE INCH
C	CEILING MOUNTED	FG	FIBERGLASS	NTS	NOT TO SCALE	STD	STANDARD
CIP	CAST IN PLACE	FDN	FOUNDATION	NO	NUMBER	STL	STEEL
CJ	CONTROL JOINT	FIN	FINISH	NOM	NOMINAL	SUSP	SUSPENDED
CAB	CABINET	FL	FLOOR	OC	ON-CENTER	SYS	SYSTEM
CKT	CIRCUIT BREAKER	FTG	FOOTING	CHD	OVERHEAD DOOR	T&G	TONQUE AND GROOVE
CLG	CEILING	GA	GAUGE	OPNG	OPENING	TEMP	TEMPERED GLASS
CLR	CLEAR	GAR	GARAGE	PL	PROPERTY LINE	TOB	TOP OF BEAM
CO	CARBON MONOXIDE	GFI	GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT	PL	PLATE	TOF	TOP OF FOOTING
COL	COLUMN		INTERRUPTER	PLY	PLYWOOD	TOW	TOP OF WALL
COMB	COMBINATION	GL	GLASS	PSF	POUNDS PER SQUARE	TV	TELEVISION
CONC	CONCRETE	GLB	GLU-LAM BEAM		FOOT	TYP	TYPICAL
CONST	CONSTRUCTION	GYP	GYPSUM	PSI	POUNDS PER SQUARE	UNO	UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE
CONT	CONTINUOUS	HB	HOSE BIBB		INCH		VAPOR BARRIER
CU	COPPER	HOZ	HORIZONTAL	PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE	VB	VOLT AMP
DW	DISHWASHER	IG	ISOLATED GROUND	PWR	POWER	VA	VERTICAL
DBL	DOUBLE	INSUL	INSULATION	QTY	QUANTITY	VERT	VERTICAL
DEMO	DEMOLITION	INT	INTERIOR	R	RADIUS	WC	WATER CLOSET
DIA	DIAMETER	J-BOX	JUNCTION BOX	RO	ROUGH OPENING	WP	WEATHERPROOF
DIM	DIMENSION	JCT	JUNCTION	REF	REFRIGERATOR	WT	WEIGHT
DN	DOWN	LED	LIGHT EMITTING DIODE	REF	REFERENCE	W/	WITH
DR	DOOR	LF	LINEAR FEET	REINF	REINFORCED	W/O	WITHOUT
EF	EXHAUST FAN	LAT	LATERAL	REQ'D	REQUIRED	WH	WATER HEATER
EW	EACH WAY	LT	LIGHT	REV	REVISION	WIC	WALK-IN CLOSET
EA	EACH	LTG	LIGHTING	RM	ROOM		

GENERAL PLUMBING, GAS & HVAC NOTES:

- ALL PLUMBING INSTALLATIONS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE IRC.
- ALL PLUMBING VENTS THROUGH THE ROOF TO BE MINIMUM 3" PIPE.
- PROVIDE EXPANSION TANK ON THE CULINARY WATER SYSTEM.
- WATER HEATER TO BE ANCHORED OR STRAPPED IN THE UPPER THIRD AND LOWER ONE-THIRD OF THE APPLUANCE TO RESIST A HORIZONTAL FORCE EQUAL TO ONE THIRD OF THE OPERATING WEIGHT. INSTALL PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- ALL MECHANICAL INSTALLATIONS SHALL COMPLY WITH THE IRC & IFGC.
- PROVIDE COMBUSTION AIR FOR ALL FUEL-BURNING APPLIANCES AT A MINIMUM RATE OF 1 SQ INCH PER 3000 BTU/HOUR INPUT. THE ONE OPENING MUST BE IN THE TOP 12 INCHES OF THE ROOM. PROVIDE MINIMUM 1 INCH CLEARANCE AROUND EQUIPMENT AT SIDES AND REAR OF APPLIANCE. PROVIDE MINIMUM 6 INCH CLEARANCE IN FRONT OF THE APPLIANCE.
- HOT WATER SUPPLIED TO BATHTUBS AND WHIRL POOL TUBS SHALL BE LIMITED TO 120 DEGREES MAX BY A WATER TEMPERATURE LIMITING DEVICE (ASSE 1070) OR BY AN APPROVED COMBO TUB/SOWER VALVE.
- EQUIPMENT AND APPLIANCES HAVING AN IGNITION SOURCE SHALL BE ELEVATED SUCH THAT THE SOURCE OF IGNITION IS NOT LESS THAN 18 INCHES ABOVE THE FLOOR IN HAZARDOUS LOCATIONS AND PUBLIC GARAGES, PRIVATE GARAGES, AND PARKING GARAGES, ROOMS OR SPACES THAT ARE NOT PART OF THE LIVING SPACE OF A DWELLING UNIT AND THAT COMMUNICATE DIRECTLY WITH A PRIVATE GARAGE THROUGH OPENINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED TO BE PART OF THE PRIVATE GARAGE.
- APPLIANCES LOCATED IN PRIVATE GARAGES SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A MIN. CLEARANCE TO 6 FEET ABOVE THE FLOOR, EXCEPT WHERE THE APPLIANCE IS PROTECTED FROM MOTOR VEHICLE IMPACT.

GENERAL ELECTRICAL NOTES:

- CONCRETE ENCASED ELECTRODE REQUIRED AS PER IRC.
- ALL RECEPTACLES TO BE TAMPER RESISTANT.
- RECEPTACLES IN BATHROOM, KITCHEN, GARAGE, OR LOCATED OUTDOORS SHALL BE PROTECTED BY A GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPT SYSTEM (GFI).
- SMOKE DETECTORS SHALL BE PLACED NO MORE THAN 12" FROM THE CEILING. SMOKE DETECTORS ARE REQUIRED PER IRC. INCLUDING EACH SLEEPING AREA AND OUTSIDE EACH SLEEPING AREA. WHEN MORE THAN ONE SMOKE ALARM IS REQUIRED TO BE INSTALLED, THE DEVICES SHALL BE INTERCONNECTED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE ACTIVATION OF ONE ALARM WILL ACTIVATE ALL ALARMS.
- CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE IRC.
- ARC FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPTER PROTECTION NOT REQUIRED PER UTAH AMENDED CODE.
- ALL LIGHTING FIXTURES IN CLOTHES CLOSETS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE IRC.
- VENT DRYER WITH 4" METAL DUCTING AND TERMINATE WITH METAL TERMINATION CAP. DRYER VENT LENGTH MUST MEET REQUIREMENTS OF IRC.
- A MINIMUM OF 75 PERCENT OF THE LAMPS IN PERMANENTLY INSTALLED LIGHTING FIXTURES SHALL BE HIGH-EFFICACY LAMPS.
- BATH VENTS SHALL VENT TO THE OUTSIDE.

SOIL CONDITION NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR SHALL REFER TO SOILS REPORT PRIOR TO EXCAVATION. COORDINATE ANY FOUNDATION DRAINS, SOIL BEARING PRESSURE, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS WITH THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL.
- GUTTERS SHALL EXTEND AND DISCHARGE BEYOND THE AREA OF BACK FILL.
- ALL ORGANICS, TOPSOIL, EXISTING FILL AND OTHER DELETERIOUS MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED FROM PROPOSED BUILDING AREAS. UNDOCUMENTED FILL LEFT BELOW PAVEMENT AND FLATWORK AREAS COULD LEAD TO ADVERSE LONG TERM SETTLEMENT.

GENERAL PLAN ELEVATION NOTES:

- DO NOT SCALE ELEVATION DRAWINGS.
- ALL RAILS MUST BE 36" HIGH AND SHALL NOT ALLOW A 4" SPHERE TO PASS BETWEEN BALUSTERS.
- STAGGER VERTICAL JOINTS OF PLANK.
- CONCRETE FOUNDATION WALLS SHALL EXTEND ABOVE FINISHED GRADE ADJACENT TO THE FOUNDATION WALL AT ALL POINTS A MINIMUM OF 6" PER IRC.
- ASPHALT SHINGLES AND UNDERLAYMENT SHALL BE USED PER IRC BASED ON SLOPE OF ROOF AND WIND RESISTANCE.
- GUTTERS MUST OCCUR OVER DOORS AND WINDOW WELLS. COORDINATE WITH CONTRACTOR/OWNER FOR OTHER LOCATIONS.
- MASONRY TO NOT TERMINATE ON OUTSIDE CORNER, UNLESS APPROVED BY CONTRACTOR/OWNER.
- PROVIDE 24" O.C. BLOCKING FOR VERTICAL SIDING PER IRC.

GENERAL ROOF NOTES:

- ROOF COVERINGS SHALL BE INSTALLED ACCORDING TO THE IRC. SEE IRC FOR APPROPRIATE UNDERLAYMENT APPLICATION AND ATTACHMENT BASED ON ROOF COVERING MATERIAL, ROOF SLOPE, WIND SPEED, ETC. PROVIDE ICE SHIELD EXTENDING FROM THE EAVES TO A POINT AT LEAST 24 OR 36 INCHES (DEPENDING ON SLOPE) INSIDE THE EXTERIOR WALL LINE.
- ROOF VALLEYS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER LINING REQUIREMENTS OF IRC.
- BASE FLASHING AGAINST A VERTICAL SIDEWALL SHALL BE CONTINUOUS OR STEP FLASHING AND SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 4" IN HEIGHT OR WIDTH AND SHALL DIRECT WATER AWAY FROM THE VERTICAL SIDEWALL ONTO THE ROOF OR GUTTER. SEE IRC FOR CONDITIONS SPECIFIC TO THE VERTICAL WALL BUILDING MATERIAL.
- FLASHING SHALL BE INSTALLED IN A MANNER THAT PREVENTS MOISTURE FROM ENTERING THE WALL AND ROOF THROUGH JOINTS IN COPINGS, THROUGH MOISTURE PERMEABLE MATERIALS AND AT INTERSECTIONS WITH PARAPET WALLS AND OTHER PENETRATIONS THRU THE ROOF PLANE.
- CRICKETS AND SADDLES SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE RIDGE SIDE OF ANY CHIMNEY OR PENETRATION MORE THAN 30" WIDE. CRICKET AND SADDLE COVERINGS SHALL BE SHEET METAL OR THE SAME MATERIAL AS THE ROOF COVERING. SEE IRC FOR SKYLIGHT EXCEPTIONS.
- ROOF ASSEMBLIES SHALL BE OF MATERIALS THAT ARE COMPATIBLE WITH EACH OTHER AND WITH THE BUILDING OR STRUCTURE TO WHICH THE MATERIALS ARE APPLIED.
- PROVIDE CROSS VENTILATION FOR ENCLOSED ATTICS AND SPACES BETWEEN RAFTERS FOR EACH SEPARATE SPACE. VENTILATING OPENINGS SHALL BE PROTECTED AGAINST THE ENTRANCE OF RAIN OR SNOW. THE TOTAL NET FREE VENTILATING AREA SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 1/150 OF THE AREA OF THE SPACE VENTILATED. THIS MAY BE REDUCED TO NOT LESS THAN 1/300 IF NOT LESS THAN 40% AND NOT MORE THAN 50% OF THE REQUIRED VENTILATING AREA IS PROVIDED BY VENTILATORS LOCATED IN THE UPPER PORTION OF THE ATTIC OR RAFTER SPACE. UPPER VENTILATORS SHALL BE LOCATED NOT MORE THAN 3 FEET BELOW THE RIDGE OR HIGHEST POINT OF SPACE WITH THE BALANCE OF THE REQUIRED VENTILATION PROVIDED BY EAVE OR CORNICE VENTS PER IRC. PROVIDE INSULATION BAFFLES IN ATTIC AT PERIMETER.
- PROVIDE A MINIMUM 4-MIL POLYETHYLENE VAPOR RETARDER OVER THE INSULATION ON THE INSIDE (WARM SIDE) OF ALL EXTERIOR WALLS AND ROOF CEILINGS.
- TRUSS MEMBERS AND COMPONENTS SHALL NOT BE CUT, DRILLED, NOTCHED, SPLICED OR OTHERWISE ALTERED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONCURRENCE AND APPROVAL OF REGISTERED DESIGN PROFESSIONAL.

GENERAL PLAN NOTES:

- CONTRACTOR/OWNER IS TO VERIFY ALL PLAN INFORMATION IS CORRECT PRIOR TO STARTING CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL EXTERIOR WOOD FRAMED WALLS OF THE LIVING SPACE (INSULATED) ARE TO BE LIGHT GAUGE STEEL UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. EXTERIOR GARAGE WALLS TO BE LIGHT GAUGE STEEL. INTERIOR FRAMED WALLS TO BE 2x4 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- NOTE THAT ALL WRITTEN DIMENSIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER SCALE.
- WORK SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (IRC) AND ALL LOCAL AND STATE ORDINANCES.
- COMPLIANCE WITH CODES AND ORDINANCES GOVERNING THE WORK SHALL BE MADE AND ENFORCED BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR.
- GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS FOR INSTALLATION OF MATERIALS, DEVICES, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT SHALL BE FOLLOWED.
- CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO SPECIFY AND COORDINATE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION:
 - SPECIFY ALL MATERIAL COLORS AND FINISHES.
 - COORDINATE SIZES OF ALL APPLIANCES AND EQUIPMENT TO ENSURE PROPER PLACEMENT AND FIT IN CABINETY AND FRAMING.
 - SPECIFY APPROVED MANUFACTURERS.
 - COORDINATE AND SPECIFY TYPES OF WALL COVERINGS, PAINT, FLOORING, TRIM STYLES, PLUMBING FIXTURES, ELECTRICAL FIXTURES, HARDWARE, CABINETS, COUNTERTOPS, DOORS, WINDOWS, ETC.
- TOILET, BATH AND SHOWER FIXTURES SHALL BE SPACED IN ACCORDANCE WITH IRC.
- ALL EMERGENCY ESCAPE AND RESCUE OPENINGS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF IRC AND CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EGRESS WINDOWS MEET THESE REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO ORDERING.
- ALL WINDOW WELLS TO PROVIDE MINIMUM NET CLEAR OPENING OF 9 SQ FT WITH A MINIMUM DIMENSION OF 36 INCHES. PROVIDE PERMANENT LADDER IF WINDOW WELL IS MORE THAN 44 INCHES DEEP.
- LANDINGS AT EXTERIOR DOORS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF IRC. LANDING IS NOT REQUIRED AT STEPS FROM GARAGE TO HOUSE.
- ALL UNENCLOSED FLOOR AND ROOF OPENINGS, OPEN AND GLAZED SIDES OF LANDINGS AND STAIRS, BALCONIES AND PORCHES MORE THAN 30 INCHES ABOVE GRADE, AND ROOFS USED FOR OTHER THAN SERVICE OF THE BUILDING SHALL BE PROTECTED BY A GUARD. GUARDS SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 36 INCHES IN HEIGHT. OPEN GUARDS SHALL HAVE INTERMEDIATE RAILS OR AN ORNAMENTAL PATTERN SUCH THAT NO SPHERE 4 INCHES IN DIAMETER CAN PASS THROUGH.
- STAIRS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF IRC AND LAYOUT SHALL BE VERIFIED THAT IT MEETS CODE BY CONTRACTOR AND FRAMING SUB CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO BUILDING OF STAIRS.
- COORDINATE WITH CONTRACTOR/OWNER FOR ANY PLANS SHOWN WITH OPTIONS.
- TEMPERED GLASS SHALL BE PROVIDED IN: FRAMELESS GLASS DOORS, GLASS IN DOORS, GLASS WITHIN A 24" ARCH OF DOORS, GLAZING LESS THAN 60" ABOVE A WALKING SURFACE THAT IS WITHIN 5 FT OF STAIRS, OR GLAZING WITHIN 5 FT OF SPAS OR POOLS, CERTAIN FIXED GLASS PANELS, AND SIMILAR GLAZED OPENINGS SUBJECT OF HUMAN IMPACT.
- PROVIDE NOT LESS THAN 1/4 INCH GYPSUM BOARD ON THE GARAGE SIDE OF THE WALL AND CEILING SEPARATING A GARAGE AND A DWELING. WHERE THE SEPARATION IS A FLOOR-CEILING ASSEMBLY, THE ASSEMBLY AND THE STRUCTURE SUPPORTING THE SEPARATION SHALL BE PROTECTED WITH NOT LESS THAN 5/8 INCH TYPE X GYPSUM BOARD ON THE CEILING.
- SEE RESCHECK SUBMITTAL FOR INSULATION VALUES AND IECC COMPLIANCE. FINAL RESCHECK CERTIFICATE SHALL BE POSTED ON OR IN THE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION PANEL.
- DOORS FROM DWELLINGS TO THE GARAGE SHALL BE 1-3/8" THICK SOLID CORE OR 20 MIN FIRE RATED AND MUST BE SELF-CLOSING.
- ALL TUBS AND SHOWERS WITH TILED WALLS REQUIRE A PORTLAND CEMENT APPLICATION, FIBER CEMENT, OR GLASS MAT GYPSUM BACKER. GREEN BOARD IS NOT ALLOWED IN THIS APPLICATION.
- FIRE BLOCK STUD SPACES AT SOFFITS, FLOOR AND CEILING JOIST LINES, AT 10' HORIZONTALLY AND VERTICALLY AT FLOOR AND CEILING LINES, AND AT OPENINGS BETWEEN ATTIC SPACES AND CHIMNEY SPACES FOR FACTORY BUILT CHIMNEYS, AND AT ANY OTHER LOCATIONS NOT SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED WHICH COULD AFFORD PASSAGE FOR FLAMES.
- PROVIDE FLASHING OVER ALL EXPOSED WOOD CONNECTIONS (RIM JOIST CONNECTION TO STRUCTURE, DECK JOIST TO RIM JOIST, AND DECK JOIST TO DECK BEAMS) AND AT ANY WOOD PROJECTIONS FROM THE HOME PER IRC.

CODE ANALYSIS:

BUILDING:	2021 INTERNATIONAL RESIDENTIAL CODE (IRC) AND STATE/LOCAL AMENDMENTS
MECHANICAL:	2021 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE (IBC) IF REQ.
ELECTRICAL:	2021 INTERNATIONAL MECHANICAL CODE (IMC)
PLUMBING:	2020 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE (NEC)
ENERGY:	2021 INTERNATIONAL PLUMBING CODE (IPC)
	2018 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE (IECC) AND UTAH AMENDMENTS
FIRE:	2021 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE (IFC)
	2021 INTERNATIONAL FUEL GAS CODE (IFGC)

INSULATION REQUIREMENTS:

PER RESCHECK:

EXTERIOR WALLS:	R-15 (2x4), R-19 (2x6)
ROOF/ATTIC:	R-38
CANTILEVERS:	R-30
UNFINISHED BASEMENT WALLS:	R-11 (CONTINUOUS)
FINISHED BASEMENT WALLS:	R-13 (CAVITY)
WALKOUT CONC. SLAB:	R-10 (CONTINUOUS) UNDER SLAB 24" MIN.
WINDOWS:	U-0.35 MAX.; LOW-E


SEE SUBMITTED RESCHECK FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

PROJECT DATA:

FOUNDATION HEIGHT:	SLAB ON GRADE
1ST FLOOR PLATE HEIGHT:	VARIES
INTERIOR WALL FRAMING:	STEEL
EXTERIOR GARAGE WALL FRAMING:	STEEL
SPORTS COURT:	1753 SF
MEZZANINE:	999 SF
AUTO SHOP:	999 SF
ENCLOSED STAIRS:	138 SF
SHOP:	720 SF
DESIGNER:	J.H.
DRAFTER:	J.H.

SHEET INDEX:

G001:	GENERAL NOTES
A101:	MAIN FLOOR PLAN
A102:	UPPER FLOOR PLAN
A103:	FOUNDATION LAYOUT PLAN
A104:	ROOF LAYOUT PLAN
A201:	ELEVATIONS
A202:	ELEVATIONS
A301:	GENERAL NOTES & DETAILS
E101:	MAIN FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN
E102:	UPPER FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONALS, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. ANY CHANGES TO THESE PLANS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND MUST BE APPROVED BY THE DESIGNER.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. NO PART OF THESE PLANS SHALL BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, WITHOUT A WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM THE DESIGNER.
6. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.
COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:

**RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)**

ADDRESS:

**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:

2026-05-27

PROJECT NUMBER:

2025-1484

TYPE:

CONSTRUCTION DOCS.


SHEET NAME:

**GENERAL
NOTES**

SHEET NUMBER:

G001

RACKER GARAGE
KAYSVILLE, UT



NARRATIVE:
A TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON DECEMBER 3, 2025. SUFFICIENT GROUND MEASUREMENTS WERE TAKEN TO PROVIDE ONE-FOOT CONTOUR LINES. ALL VISIBLE IMPROVEMENTS THAT WERE FOUND AND MEASURED ARE SHOWN HEREON. THE LOT LINES ARE SHOWN ACCORDING TO THE RECORDED SUBDIVISION PLAT ACQUIRED FROM THE DAVIS COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE BUT ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY AND IN NO WAY REPRESENTS A BOUNDARY SURVEY.



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244


[illegible]

PLAN NAME:
RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)
ADDRESS:
768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE: 2026-05-27
 AD PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1484
 ET: CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
 SHEET NAME:


**SITE
PLAN**

SP01



1 MAIN FLOOR PLAN

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244


THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE
3. RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH
4. AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
5. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
6. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED IN ANY MANNER THAT RESULTS IN A PLAN ERROR, OMISSION,
7. CONFLICT, OR REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.
8. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO
9. HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN
10. THESE DRAWINGS.
11. COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART
12. WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
**RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)**
ADDRESS:
**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**


DATE:
2026-05-27
JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:
**MAIN FLOOR
PLAN**
SHEET NUMBER:

A101

COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.



1 UPPER FLOOR PLAN



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LL
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, TO ENSURE THE CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COST OF ANY CHANGES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL, DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. ANY CHANGES TO THE DRAWING SET MUST BE MADE BY THE DESIGNER OR ARCHITECT. ABOUT A PLAN ERROR, OMISSION, OR CONFLICT, OR REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.

THESE TERMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY, AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND ARCHITECT HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT © JEFF ADAMS DESIGN 2025. ALL RIGHT'S RESERVED. NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART

PLAN NAME:


RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)

ADDRESS:


768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE: 2026-05-27
JAD PROJECT NUMBER: 2025-1484
SET: CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME: UPPER FLOOR PLAN
SHEET NUMBER:

A102



1 FOOTING & FOUNDATION LAYOUT
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:
1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION.
3. ANY CHANGES TO THE PLANS MUST BE APPROVED BY THE DESIGNER PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SUCH
4. AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
5. STRICTLY COMPLIANT WITH ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE
6. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
7. THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO
8. HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN
9. WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.


PLAN NAME:
**RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)**
ADDRESS:
**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:
2026-05-27
JOB PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SHEET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:
**FOUNDATION
LAYOUT**
SHEET NUMBER:

A103


NOTE:

SEE FLOOR PLANS FOR ANY VAULTED OR TRAY CEILING LOCATIONS.



1 ROOF LAYOUT PLAN

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. CONTRACTOR IS TO ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED IN ANY MANNER THAT RESULTS IN A PLAN ERROR, OMISSION, CONFLICT, OR REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING FURTHER.

THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
**RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)**

ADDRESS:
**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:
2026-05-27




PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484

SET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.

SHEET NAME:

**ROOF
LAYOUT PLAN**

SHEET NUMBER:
A104



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.

THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
**RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)**



ADDRESS:
**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:
2026-05-27

PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484

CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:
**FRONT & RIGHT
ELEVATIONS**

SHEET NUMBER:
A201



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.
4. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO ENSURE THAT ALL MATERIALS AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRICTEST COMPLIANCE WITH THE CITY OF SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH, AND THE STATE OF UTAH.
5. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
6. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO ENSURE THAT ALL MATERIALS AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STRICTEST COMPLIANCE WITH THE CITY OF SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH, AND THE STATE OF UTAH.


THESE ITEMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT: JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
**RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)**
ADDRESS:
**768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT**

DATE:
2026-05-27
JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SHEET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:
**LEFT & REAR
ELEVATIONS**
SHEET NUMBER:

A202



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LL
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 8409
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

- 1- THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 2- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN ERRORS DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION, AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY COSTS INCURRED BY THE ARCHITECT DUE TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PROFESSIONALS, SUCH AS, CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL, DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
- 3- CONTRACTOR MUST ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE STRUCTURES CONSTRUCTED.
- 4- NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
- 5- CONTRACTOR MUST OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION.
- 6- NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED WITHOUT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING (HARVEST, OMISSION, CONFLICT, OR REQUEST).

THESE TERMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROTECT A CLEVER UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTORS AND OWNERS RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR OWNER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT © 2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART.

PLAN NAME:

RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)

ADDRESS:
768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE:
2026-05-27

JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484

SET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.

SHEET NAME:

GENERAL NOTES & DETAIL

SHEET NUMBER:


A501

GENERAL STAIR NOTES

1. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY STAIR LAYOUT AND FRAMING PRIOR TO BUILDING OF STAIRS.
2. PROVIDE 2x12 STRINGERS AND 2x TREADS.
3. PROVIDE 5/8" GP. BOARD @ USABLE SPACE UNDER STAIRS
4. FIREBLOCK BETWEEN STUDS ALONG RUN & MIDPOINT BETWEEN STRINGERS
5. PROVIDE RISERS PER PLATE HEIGHT:
 - 5.1.1. 8" PLATE = 14 RISERS
 - 5.1.2. 9" PLATE = 16 RISERS
 - 5.1.3. 10" PLATE = 17 RISERS
6. PROVIDE A TREAD DEPTH OF 10" AND A RISER HEIGHT OF 7.75" MAXIMUM. 9" MINIMUM TREAD AND 8" MAXIMUM RISER ALLOWED ONLY IF APPROVED BY OWNER/GENERAL CONTRACTOR.
7. PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 6'-8" HEADROOM FROM STAIR TO CEILING.
8. TOP OF HANDRAILS SHALL BE PLACED BETWEEN 34" AND 38" ABOVE NOSING OF TREADS. THEY SHALL BE CONTINUOUS THE FULL LENGTH OF THE STAIRS. ENDS SHALL BE RETURNED OR SHALL TERMINATE IN NEVEL POSTS OR SAFETY TERMINALS. THE HANDGRIP PORTION OF THE HANDRAIL SHALL BE NOT LESS THAN 1-1/4" NOR MORE THAN 2-5/8" IN CROSS-SECTION OR THE SHAPE SHALL PROVIDE A EQUIVALENT GRIPPING SURFACE. HANDRAILS PROJECTING FROM WALL SHALL HAVE A SPACE OF NOT LESS THAN 1-1/2" BETWEEN WALL AND THE HANDRAIL. IRC 311.7.8

3 STAIR DETAIL


NT



COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

ELECTRICAL SYMBOL LEGEND	
	SINGLE POLE SWITCH
	THREE-WAY SWITCH
	FOUR-WAY SWITCH
	DIMMER SWITCH
	CEILING MOUNTED FIXTURE
	CEILING MOUNTED FIXTURE w/ PULL CHAIN
	REINFORCED CEILING MOUNTED CHANDELIER
	WALL MOUNTED FIXTURE
	WALL MOUNTED FLOOD LIGHT
	RECESSED LIGHT
	WATERPROOF RECESSED LIGHT
	LIGHT & CEILING FAN REINFORCED
	2x4 LED LIGHT FIXTURE
	DUPLEX OUTLET
	FOURPLEX OUTLET
	SWITCHED OUTLET

	GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT INTERRUPTION OUTLET
	WEATHERPROOF OUTLET
	220V OUTLET
	FLOOR MOUNTED DUPLEX OUTLET
	CEILING MOUNTED DUPLEX OUTLET
	SPECIAL PURPOSE OUTLET
	JUNCTION BOX
	DOOR BELL CHIME
	TV JACK
	TELEPHONE/DATA OUTLET
	SPEAKER
	EXHAUST FAN
	DOOR BELL
	HOSE BIBB
	THERMOSTAT
	LOW VOLTAGE PUSH BUTTON
	MOTOR
	SECURITY MOTION DETECTOR
	SECURITY DOOR/WINDOW LOCK
	SMOKE DETECTOR
	CARBON MONOXIDE/SMOKE DETECTOR
	DIRECT GAS LINE



1 MAIN FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN
1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)

JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LLC
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

1. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING IS CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN CHANGES DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE PROFESSIONAL, SUCH AS CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
3. THESE PLANS TO BE USED IN CONFORMANCE WITH ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE STRICTEST COMPLIANCE WITH THE DESIGNER'S INTENT.
4. NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
5. THESE PLANS ARE IN PLACE TO PROVIDE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTOR AND OWNER RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR DRAFTER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.

PLAN NAME:
RACKER GARAGE (COMBINED)
ADDRESS:
768 CHRISTOPHER CIR. KAYSVILLE, UT


DATE:
2026-05-27
JAD PROJECT NUMBER:
2025-1484
SHEET:
CONSTRUCTION DOCS.
SHEET NAME:
MAIN FLOOR ELECTRICAL
SHEET NUMBER:

E101

COPYRIGHT JEFF ADAMS DESIGN ©2025 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED - NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION.


GENERAL ELECTRICAL NOTES:

1. SEE SHEET E101 FOR ELECTRICAL SYMBOLS AND NOTES.



1 UPPER FLOOR ELECTRICAL PLAN

1/8" = 1'-0" (ARCH B)
1/4" = 1'-0" (ARCH D)



JAD
JEFF ADAMS DESIGN
Signature Collection

JEFF ADAMS DESIGN, LL
1314 W 11400 S #200
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 8409
801-878-6244

THESE PLANS ARE ONLY PERMITTED FOR USE UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS:

- 1- THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR OWNER, AS PART OF THE DESIGN TEAM, ARE TO ENSURE THE DRAWING CORRECTNESS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 2- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL PLAN ERRORS DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION, AND WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY COSTS INCURRED BY THE ARCHITECT DUE TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING PROFESSIONALS, SUCH AS, CITY BUILDING OFFICIAL, DESIGNER, STRUCTURAL ENGINEER, ETC.
- 3- THE CONTRACTOR MUST ENSURE THAT ALL NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES ARE FOLLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.
- 4- NO SHEET OR DETAIL SHALL BE USED INDEPENDENTLY FROM THE APPROVED DRAWING SET.
- 5- CONTRACTOR OR REQUESTOR SHALL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH A PLAN ERROR, OMISSION, CONFLICT, OR REVISION.

THESE TERMS ARE IN PLACE TO PROTECT A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF CONTRACTORS AND OWNERS RESPONSIBILITY AND TO HOLD THE DESIGNER AND/OR OWNER HARMLESS OF ANY FINANCIAL OR LEGAL LIABILITY RESULTING FROM ERRORS IN THESE DRAWINGS.

COPYRIGHT © LEFF ADAMS DESIGN 2025/26 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART.

PLAN NAME

RACKER
GARAGE
(COMBINED)

ADDRESS:

768
CHRISTOPHER
CIR.
KAYSVILLE, UT

DATE:
2026-05-27

JAD PROJECT NUMBER

2025-1484

CONSTRUCTION DOCS.

SHEET NAME

UPPER FLOOR ELECTRICAL

SHEET NUMBER:

E102

Kaysville City Planning Commission Meeting Minutes
August 13, 2026

The Planning Commission meeting was held on Thursday, August 13, 2026, at 7:00 p.m. in the Kaysville City Hall located at 23 East Center Street.

Planning Commission Members in Attendance: Chair Young, Commissioners Megan Sevy, Wilf Sommerkorn, Rachel Lott, David Moore, and Michael Packer

Staff in Attendance: Katie Ellis, Mindi Edstrom and Anne McNamara

Public Attendees: City Councilmember Abbi Hunt, Josh McBride, Jaysen Christensen, Brad McIlwrath, Lauri Cragun, Susan Harris, Curtis Cragun, Jennifer Borup, Dawn Hardy, Lary Hardy, Brent Toller, Cindy Kerr, Tom Kerr, Jim Day, Gary Uresk, Laurene Starkey, and Jill Dredge

1- WELCOME AND MEETING ORDER

Chair Young welcomed all in attendance at the Kaysville City Planning Commission meeting.

2- DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts of interest.

3- PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER CHANGES TO 17-31-3 INTERNAL ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS TO COMPLY WITH SENATE BILL 284

Ms. McNamara introduced the proposed ordinance regarding detached accessory dwelling units (DADUs) and provided background on Senate Bill 284, Local Land Use and Water Modifications, which was passed during the 2026 Utah Legislative Session. The legislation requires cities to establish regulations for DADUs by October 1, 2026.

She explained that state law requires cities to allow DADUs on qualifying single-family residential lots and requires them to comply with applicable building, health, fire, and safety codes. The legislation also requires cities to establish a process for converting legal accessory structures into DADUs, subject to applicable setback requirements.

She said that while state law establishes certain parameters regarding what cities can and cannot regulate, the City still has discretion in several areas. Two of the primary issues identified for Commission consideration were setbacks and building height. She asked the Commission to consider whether DADUs should be allowed up to 30 feet in height and two stories or whether the City should establish a lower height limit. She also identified whether DADUs should have additional setbacks beyond those required for a typical accessory structure as an important issue for discussion.

She noted that Commissioner Sommerkorn had prepared the draft ordinance based on the requirements of the new state law. Staff was seeking feedback from both the Commission and the public regarding potential additions or modifications that would make the ordinance a better fit for Kaysville.

Ms. McNamara reported that the public hearing notice was posted on July 27, 2026. She stated that the General Plan does not specifically support or oppose the proposed amendment and was therefore considered neutral. Staff recommended that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of approval to the City Council for the proposed text amendment as presented in the draft ordinance, subject to any revisions resulting from the Commission's discussion and public input.

Chair Young asked Commissioner Sommerkorn to provide additional background and walk the Commission through the draft ordinance before opening the public hearing.

Commissioner Sommerkorn explained that he volunteered to prepare the ordinance while the Community Development Department was short-staffed and because of the October 1, 2026 deadline established by the Legislature. He noted that without an ordinance in place by the deadline, the City could lose the ability to impose certain local restrictions on detached ADUs. He described his draft as intentionally minimal, incorporating the basic requirements of state law while leaving several policy decisions for discussion by the Planning Commission. These included height, setbacks, design standards, and other development requirements.

Commissioner Sommerkorn also referenced an alternative draft ordinance provided by Commissioner Toller, which had been prepared by Commissioner Toller's brother. He complimented the draft as thorough and well-crafted, noting that it included specific recommendations on several issues that his original draft had intentionally left open for Commission discussion. He suggested reviewing the available options and determining what the Commission wanted to ultimately recommend to the City Council.

He clarified that although he is a member of the Planning Commission, he was functioning more in a staff capacity for this agenda item because he had prepared the ordinance. For that reason, he chose to sit with staff during the discussion to avoid having undue influence on the Commission's eventual recommendation.

Commissioner Sommerkorn further explained that Senate Bill 284 limits cities from creating undue barriers to converting existing accessory structures into DADUs. While reviewing the City's existing regulations for accessory structures, he identified several provisions that he believed should also be reconsidered. He noted that many of the standards applicable to accessory structures could ultimately affect DADUs and vice versa.

He recommended that the City separately review its accessory structure regulations, particularly because some existing standards may not be appropriate when an accessory structure is used as a dwelling. Chair Young agreed that the review was timely and suggested that the Commission first walk through Commissioner Sommerkorn's original draft ordinance, then hold the public hearing and consider whether the public comments aligned with some of the additional provisions contained in the alternative draft.

Commissioner Toller asked for clarification regarding the action expected from the Planning

Commission. He questioned whether the Commission was being asked to recommend an ordinance that evening or whether a final draft would return at a subsequent meeting after additional revisions.

Ms. Ellis explained that, because of the approaching state deadline, staff encouraged the Commission to forward some form of recommendation to the City Council that evening. She noted that the ordinance was scheduled for discussion on the City Council's work agenda the following week, which would provide additional time for both staff and the Council to review and refine the ordinance.

Commissioner Toller expressed concern that the discussion could result in significant changes to the draft ordinance and questioned whether the schedule allowed additional Planning Commission review. Chair Young suggested proceeding with the discussion before determining the appropriate action. She noted that the Commission could recommend approval with specific amendments, recommend the ordinance as presented, recommend denial, or determine that additional review was necessary.

Staff then displayed the redlined version of the proposed ordinance for the Commission and public to follow during the discussion.

Commissioner Sommerkorn began reviewing the draft ordinance and explained that the City Code already contains provisions for internal accessory dwelling units as a result of previous state legislation. The proposed amendments would expand and reorganize those provisions to address accessory dwelling units more broadly while distinguishing between internal and detached ADUs and incorporating the requirements of the new state legislation.

He directed the Commission to the proposed regulations for detached accessory dwelling units and identified lot size as the first policy issue for discussion. The draft ordinance provided that DADUs would be permitted on lots or parcels of 11,000 square feet or larger, consistent with the threshold established by state law.

Commissioner Sommerkorn explained that state law does not prohibit municipalities from allowing DADUs on lots smaller than 11,000 square feet. He noted that some communities have chosen to allow them on smaller lots as one tool for addressing housing affordability and increasing housing opportunities. He asked whether the Commission had an interest in recommending that Kaysville allow DADUs on lots smaller than the 11,000-square-foot threshold.

Chair Young stated that she would be open to considering DADUs on lots smaller than 11,000 square feet but felt that the state-required threshold would likely be a reasonable starting point for Kaysville. Commissioner Sommerkorn agreed that the ordinance could initially be adopted using the 11,000-square-foot standard and amended in the future if the City determined that a smaller lot size was appropriate.

Commissioner Sommerkorn noted that some Utah communities allow DADUs on lots as small

as 8,000 square feet, while others do not establish a minimum lot size and instead regulate DADUs through setbacks, lot coverage, and available yard area.

Brad McIlwrath discussed how other communities are approaching DADUs. He stated that Layton City already allows detached ADUs on lots as small as 6,000 square feet and that Syracuse allows them on lots of approximately 10,000 square feet. He explained that there are several ways Kaysville could regulate DADUs depending on what best fits the community, including minimum lot size, setbacks, whether the structure may be located in the side or rear yard, and whether one- or two-story structures are permitted.

Mr. McIlwrath also discussed the conversion of existing accessory structures into DADUs. He noted that accessory structures may currently be permitted closer to property lines than the City might otherwise prefer for a residential use. Because a DADU could have a greater impact on neighboring properties than a shed, garage, or other accessory structure, the Commission could consider requiring greater setbacks for new DADUs while also addressing how existing legal accessory structures may be converted as required by state law. He noted that, prior to the recent state legislation, a 10,000-square-foot minimum lot size was common among cities that already permitted detached ADUs.

Commissioner Sommerkorn added that the original legislation proposed an 8,000-square-foot threshold before it was increased to 11,000 square feet during the legislative process.

Chair Young asked the remaining Commissioners for their thoughts on minimum lot size.

Commissioner Packer stated that he was also open to allowing DADUs on smaller lots. He suggested that, if the Commission selected a threshold below 11,000 square feet, it may be helpful to align the requirement with one of Kaysville's existing residential zoning districts for clarity, such as a 10,000-square-foot standard corresponding with the R-1-10 zone. Chair Young agreed that aligning the standard with an existing zoning designation could provide clarity.

Commissioner Toller agreed that DADUs could potentially be appropriate on lots smaller than 11,000 square feet, depending on the setbacks and other development standards ultimately adopted. He expressed concern that DADUs could negatively affect property values, particularly in West Kaysville, where larger lots and high-value homes create a unique residential environment. He noted that after considering setbacks, placement, and other requirements, he would not necessarily oppose allowing DADUs on smaller lots where they could reasonably fit.

Chair Young and Commissioner Sommerkorn disagreed that DADUs would necessarily decrease property values. Commissioner Sommerkorn stated that ADUs could potentially increase property value because they provide an opportunity for rental income. Commissioner Toller acknowledged the potential for rental income but said that, based on his professional experience with residential appraisals and lending, he believed DADUs could have an impact on property values.

Commissioner Sommerkorn shared his experience with the adoption of ADU regulations while serving as Planning Director for Salt Lake City. He recalled that there was greater acceptance of

ADUs on the west side of Salt Lake City, where homes and lots were generally smaller and less expensive, while some of the strongest opposition came from larger and more expensive properties on the east side and in the Avenues.

Commissioner Sommerkorn then continued reviewing the draft ordinance. He explained that DADUs would be required to comply with applicable building, health, and fire regulations, as required by state law, as well as the applicable land use regulations of the underlying zoning district.

He then identified setbacks as the next significant issue for Commission consideration. The draft ordinance initially required DADUs to comply with the same setbacks applicable to accessory structures. Commissioner Sommerkorn suggested that a different standard may be appropriate because the City's current accessory structure regulations allow structures to be located as close as one foot from a rear property line. While that setback may be reasonable for a small shed, he expressed concern about allowing a residential structure, potentially containing windows and living areas, that close to neighboring property.

He noted that some communities require DADUs to meet the same setbacks as the primary residence but cautioned that doing so could significantly limit where a DADU could be located, particularly when the intent is often to place the structure within a rear yard. He suggested that DADUs could reasonably have a smaller setback than the primary residence while still requiring more separation than the one-foot setback currently permitted for some accessory structures. He also noted that the appropriate setback could be considered together with potential limitations on height and whether DADUs should be restricted to a single story.

Commissioner Packer asked whether the Commission wanted to continue discussing specific setback standards at that point or wait until after receiving public comment.

Commissioner Sommerkorn agreed that it would be helpful to wait until after the public hearing to discuss specific setback requirements and encouraged the Commission to listen for public comments regarding that issue.

He then addressed the proposed requirement that a DADU be designed consistently with the primary single-family dwelling. He explained that this presents a potential conflict within state law. Previous legislation prohibits local governments from regulating certain design characteristics of one- and two-family dwellings, including exterior materials and other architectural features. However, the new DADU legislation also states that municipalities may require a DADU to be designed consistently with the primary dwelling.

Commissioner Toller referenced the applicable section of Utah Code and agreed that the two provisions appeared to conflict. He suggested that, to the extent permitted by state law, Kaysville should retain the ability to require consistency between the DADU and the primary residence.

Commissioner Lott questioned what "consistent" would mean in practice. She gave the example of a homeowner whose existing brick or exterior material is no longer available and noted that requiring an exact match could create difficulties for property owners.

Ms. Ellis explained that if the ordinance were legally challenged because of ambiguity in state law, a court would generally look to the more specific statutory provision and, in a land use matter involving multiple reasonable interpretations, interpret the provision in favor of the property owner.

Commissioner Sommerkorn recommended using the general language provided in state law requiring DADUs to be "consistent with the design" of the primary residence without attempting to identify specific materials, colors, or architectural features. He felt that adding detailed design standards could conflict with the separate statutory restrictions on local design regulation.

Commissioner Lott also questioned how a design consistency requirement would apply when converting an existing legal accessory structure into a DADU, particularly if the existing structure was constructed of materials such as metal that did not match the primary residence. Commissioner Sommerkorn acknowledged the potential conflict and said that keeping the language general would provide staff with some discretion while preserving the property owner's protections when more than one reasonable interpretation exists.

Ms. Ellis agreed that the City should avoid regulating the specific design elements that state law expressly prohibits municipalities from regulating. She added that the Utah League of Cities and Towns was aware of the ambiguity in the legislation and anticipated that the Legislature may address the issue during the next legislative session, at which time the City could amend its ordinance if necessary.

Commissioner Sommerkorn clarified that state law requires the City to provide a path for converting an existing accessory structure into a DADU, provided the structure can comply with the requirements established for DADUs. Commissioner Toller agreed, noting that an existing structure may require modifications, such as increased setbacks or changes to exterior materials, before it could qualify for conversion. Commissioner Sommerkorn confirmed that if an existing structure could be brought into compliance, it could be converted; otherwise, it would not qualify.

Commissioner Sommerkorn then discussed the proposed size limitation, which stated that a DADU could not be larger than the primary single-family dwelling. He noted that the Commission could consider a more restrictive standard, such as limiting a DADU to a percentage of the primary dwelling or establishing a maximum square footage. He explained that a percentage-based limitation could create challenges on properties with smaller primary homes and suggested that the Commission consider which approach would be most reasonable.

He reviewed additional provisions prohibiting DADUs within utility easements or front yards and then discussed parking requirements. State law limits the amount of additional off-street

parking the City may require. For a DADU smaller than 650 square feet, the City may require one additional off-street parking space, while a DADU larger than 650 square feet may be required to provide two. Additional provisions address existing parking, paving, and utility service requirements.

Commissioner Sommerkorn next discussed the proposed limitation of one ADU per property, whether internal or detached. He noted that some communities allow both an internal ADU and a DADU on the same property and asked whether the Commission wanted to consider that option. Chair Young recalled a recent discussion involving a resident who was considering both types of ADUs and suggested returning to the issue later in the discussion.

Commissioner Sevy asked how allowing both an internal and detached ADU would affect parking requirements. Staff confirmed that an internal ADU requires one additional non-tandem parking space and discussed how additional parking requirements could apply if both types of ADUs were permitted on the same property.

Commissioner Sommerkorn continued by reviewing rental and occupancy provisions. The draft required a rental permit, prohibited rentals for periods of less than 30 days, and required the property owner to reside in either the primary dwelling or the ADU. Chair Young noted that state law allows the City to establish a minimum rental period of up to 90 consecutive days and identified the appropriate rental period as another issue for Commission consideration.

Commissioner Sommerkorn added that state law also allows cities to regulate certain aspects of DADUs such as building mass, lot coverage, and window placement. He suggested that the Commission consider whether those standards should be incorporated into Kaysville's ordinance.

Commissioner Toller expressed concern about the limitations state law places on parking requirements, particularly because parking is frequently an issue considered during development review. Commissioner Sommerkorn acknowledged that a DADU could potentially house multiple individuals with multiple vehicles while the City may only be able to require one or two additional parking spaces. He noted that on-street parking is generally permitted on public streets, but additional vehicles could create challenges during the winter when City Code prohibits overnight street parking.

Chair Young thanked Commissioner Sommerkorn for his work in preparing the draft ordinance and for walking the Commission through the proposed provisions.

She then opened the public hearing and explained that public comments would generally be limited to three minutes per speaker. She asked each speaker to state their name and requested that comments remain respectful toward other members of the public and City staff.

Jill Dredge commented on the potential impacts of DADUs on neighboring properties. She encouraged the Commission to visit neighborhoods in West Kaysville where accessory

structures and ADUs have already been constructed to see examples of both successful projects and those that have created concerns for surrounding residents.

She said that while an ADU may increase the value of the property on which it is located, it could potentially have a negative effect on neighboring properties. She specifically expressed concern about two-story structures with windows overlooking adjacent backyards and the resulting loss of privacy.

Ms. Dredge also described a situation where a metal accessory structure located near a neighboring garden had reportedly increased the temperature in the backyard. She encouraged the Commission to consider impacts such as privacy, building height, window placement, exterior materials, and effects on neighboring properties as it develops the DADU regulations.

Laurene Starkey expressed concerns regarding enforcement of the proposed DADU regulations, treatment of existing structures and nonconforming properties, and the City's ability to meet the October 1 deadline.

She emphasized that regulations are only effective if they are consistently enforced and referenced existing accessory structures in Kaysville that residents believe have negatively affected neighboring properties. She asked how the City intends to enforce the new standards, including whether inspections would occur, whether enforcement would primarily rely on complaints from neighbors, and whether Code Enforcement has sufficient staffing to accommodate an increase in DADU applications. She also suggested that the City consider publicly tracking the number of DADU permits issued each year so the cumulative effects could be monitored over time.

Ms. Starkey also asked how the ordinance would address properties and existing structures that do not clearly conform to the new standards, including corner lots, flag lots, and accessory structures constructed under previous regulations. She questioned whether existing structures would automatically be considered grandfathered or whether they would be subject to a review process before conversion to a DADU.

Finally, she expressed concern about the October 1, 2026 deadline and asked what would occur if the City had not adopted final standards by that date. She encouraged the Commission to establish clear regulations and an appropriate enforcement process before the deadline and to use the authority available to the City to protect neighboring properties and ensure that the adopted standards are consistently enforced.

Lauri Cragun, a resident of the Old Mill Farms neighborhood, stated that she owns a 1,500-square-foot internal ADU and understands the benefits ADUs can provide for families needing housing.

She expressed concern that the proposed ordinance does not address several areas the City is still permitted to regulate under state law, including DADU size, height, lot coverage, setbacks,

window orientation, design consistency, rental terms, owner occupancy, and parking. She recommended including diagrams showing permitted DADU locations on residential lots. Ms. Cragun described a 2,400-square-foot, 30-foot-high steel accessory building located approximately 10 feet from her property line, which she said has four windows overlooking her property and has affected her privacy. She also referenced other large accessory structures being constructed in the neighborhood and said the City's existing accessory structure regulations should be reviewed.

She requested that the Commission return the draft ordinance for additional work and consider a revised draft in two weeks, with another opportunity for public comment before forwarding a recommendation to the City Council. She acknowledged the October 1 deadline but encouraged the City to establish more specific DADU standards before adopting the ordinance.

Susan Harris stated that she had previously submitted a letter to the Commission regarding the proposed DADU regulations and expressed concern about the potential impacts on established neighborhoods. She questioned why a recommendation would be forwarded to the City Council before the Commission had fully considered public input.

She described an existing accessory structure approximately one foot from her property line and expressed concern that current City regulations have allowed structures that negatively affect neighboring properties. She encouraged the City to review its existing accessory structure standards as part of the DADU discussion.

Ms. Harris urged the Commission to carefully establish standards for DADUs before adoption, noting that the number of these structures could increase over time and significantly change neighborhood character. She acknowledged the requirements imposed by state law but encouraged the City to use its remaining regulatory authority to ensure the ordinance reflects Kaysville's existing neighborhoods and community character.

Gary Uresk, a resident of Joshua Drive, expressed concern about accessory structures that have been constructed in his neighborhood and said both the City's existing standards and enforcement should be reviewed.

He recommended limiting accessory structures and DADUs to one story and prohibiting windows that overlook adjacent properties to protect privacy. He also recommended an eight-foot setback for DADUs, noting that they are residential dwellings and should have greater separation from neighboring structures. He supported requiring DADUs to be consistent with the design of the primary residence.

Mr. Uresk also expressed concern about parking, particularly where a DADU may have more vehicles than the City is permitted to require off-street parking spaces. He noted that inadequate parking requirements can create neighborhood problems.

He concluded by emphasizing the importance of enforcement, stating that regardless of the

standards adopted, they will only be effective if they are consistently enforced.

Chair Young closed the public hearing and summarized several issues raised during public comment, including the need to review the City's accessory structure regulations, enforcement concerns, architectural standards, setbacks, building height, parking, and window placement. She invited the Commission to discuss potential changes to the draft ordinance.

Commissioner Sommerkorn suggested addressing the issues individually, beginning with building height. Commissioner Lott commented that height should be considered together with setbacks and window placement because the impacts of a two-story DADU would depend on its location relative to neighboring properties.

Commissioner Sommerkorn noted that some communities limit DADUs to one story and said that could be appropriate for structures intended to remain accessory to the primary residence. Chair Young raised concern that a single-story limitation could prevent conversion of existing detached garages with living space above them. Commissioner Sommerkorn agreed and suggested that a height limit below the currently permitted 30 feet might provide another option.

Commissioner Sevy asked whether separation from the primary residence was governed by fire code requirements. Commissioner Sommerkorn explained that fire code requirements would apply and could allow structures to be relatively close when appropriate fire-rated construction is provided. Commissioner Sevy noted that building height, setbacks, separation from the primary residence, and minimum lot size were therefore interconnected issues.

Commissioner Lott supported providing generous setbacks from neighboring properties and suggested considering the same setbacks required for primary residences. Commissioner Toller agreed, stating that because a DADU is a residential use, applying residential setbacks would provide a simple standard.

Commissioner Sommerkorn cautioned that requiring all primary-residence setbacks could significantly limit the ability to construct DADUs on otherwise qualifying lots. The Commission reviewed the City's existing side-yard requirements and noted that accessory structures already must comply with applicable side-yard setbacks. Commissioner Sommerkorn said the greater issue would likely be determining an appropriate rear-yard setback for DADUs.

Commissioner Sevy asked how setbacks would apply to irregularly shaped or corner lots. Commissioner Sommerkorn acknowledged that the City's existing accessory structure requirements for corner lots are unclear and should be addressed when the accessory structure ordinance is reviewed. For DADUs, however, the City could establish specific standards now. He noted that corner lots typically have a 20-foot setback along the street-facing side and asked whether DADUs should be permitted within that setback. Commissioner Toller supported maintaining the 20-foot setback because a DADU functions as a residence. Commissioner Toller summarized several provisions he would like incorporated into the

ordinance, including generally limiting DADUs to one story while addressing existing structures with living space above garages, regulating window orientation, establishing appropriate side-yard setbacks, requiring converted accessory structures to comply with all DADU standards, and requiring DADUs to be designed consistently with the primary residence as permitted by state law. He also noted the importance of enforcement and referenced the existing \$100-per-day penalty provision.

The Commission briefly discussed whether permits for accessory structures could be paused while the City reviews its regulations. Commissioner Sommerkorn explained that the City could initiate a process commonly referred to as a moratorium, which would place applicants on notice that regulations are under review and that projects proceeding during that period could be affected by subsequent code changes. Chair Young clarified that the October 1 state deadline makes it necessary for the City to adopt DADU regulations rather than delay action on those provisions.

The discussion returned to building height. Commissioner Sevy noted that allowing a second story could make DADUs more practical on smaller or irregular lots by preserving ground area for setbacks and parking. Chair Young and Commissioner Lott discussed whether increased setbacks and restrictions on window placement could adequately address privacy concerns associated with second-story DADUs.

Commissioner Lott suggested first determining the minimum lot size because that decision would affect the other development standards. The Commission discussed whether to retain the proposed 11,000-square-foot minimum or allow DADUs on smaller lots when all applicable setbacks and other requirements can be met. Commissioner Sevy supported allowing DADUs on smaller lots if they comply with the adopted standards. Commissioner Sommerkorn noted that some communities do not establish a minimum lot size and instead rely on setbacks and other development requirements to determine whether a DADU can fit on a particular property.

The Commission did not reach a final determination on minimum lot size during this portion of the discussion and acknowledged that the standards adopted now could be amended in the future based on experience with the ordinance.

Commissioner Toller asked whether the Commission should recommend a minimum lot size below the 11,000 square feet established by state law or leave that standard unchanged. Commissioner Lott supported providing a specific recommendation, and Commissioner Sommerkorn encouraged the Commission to give direction because the City Council was expected to discuss the ordinance at an upcoming work session.

The Commission discussed reducing the minimum lot size to either 8,000 or 10,000 square feet. Chair Young and Commissioner Sevy initially considered 8,000 square feet, noting that the other development standards, including setbacks and height, would still determine whether a DADU could reasonably fit on a property. After reviewing the City's residential zoning districts, the Commission generally favored a 10,000-square-foot minimum as a starting point.

The Commission then returned to building height. Commissioner Lott expressed concern that limiting DADUs to one story could unnecessarily prevent conversion of existing two-story accessory structures. Commissioner Packer agreed, noting that a second-story DADU could be appropriate on larger properties where substantial separation from neighboring property lines exists.

Commissioner Packer suggested coordinating height with setbacks rather than imposing an absolute one-story limitation. Commissioner Sommerkorn explained that the City's current accessory structure regulations allow structures closer to the rear property line at lower heights, with additional setback required as building height increases. Staff clarified that the applicable height threshold begins at 12 feet.

The Commission discussed using a similar graduated approach for DADUs, potentially establishing a larger minimum setback, such as eight feet, and then requiring additional setback as the structure increases in height. This approach could allow second-story DADUs where sufficient space exists while providing greater separation from neighboring properties.

The Commission continued discussing rear-yard setbacks and building height. Chair Young clarified that the proposed eight-foot setback would apply to the rear property line, while DADUs would continue to meet the applicable side-yard setbacks. Commissioners Lott and Sevy expressed concern that an eight-foot rear setback may be insufficient for a two-story structure.

The Commission discussed using a graduated setback based on building height, beginning with an eight-foot rear setback for structures up to 12 feet in height and requiring additional setback as the structure becomes taller. Commissioner Sevy also suggested that a DADU should not exceed the height of the primary residence. The Commission generally supported that concept, noting that it would limit DADUs associated with single-story homes while allowing additional height where the primary residence is taller.

The Commission also discussed limiting the overall size of a DADU to a percentage of the primary residence, with approximately 75 percent discussed as a possible maximum. Commissioner Toller questioned whether DADUs should instead comply with the standard 15-foot rear setback applicable to residential dwellings, stating that existing setback requirements reflect what neighboring property owners have historically expected.

Chair Young and Commissioners Sevy and Lott noted that a 15-foot requirement could substantially limit DADUs on smaller qualifying lots and reduce the flexibility the Commission had discussed providing.

The Commission also considered the likely frequency of DADU construction. Commissioners Lott, Sevy, and Packer noted that constructing a detached dwelling requires a significant financial investment and suggested that DADUs may represent only a relatively small percentage of residential properties, even where they are permitted.

Ms. McNamara shared information from Farmington City regarding its experience after allowing detached ADUs. She said Farmington had experienced only a small number of new DADUs each year rather than a significant increase. Commissioner Sommerkorn added that information gathered by the Utah League of Cities and Towns and experiences in other communities generally indicated that DADU construction has remained relatively limited.

Commissioner Packer said he had initially favored requiring DADUs to meet the same setbacks as primary residences but had become more comfortable treating DADUs as a separate category with potentially reduced setbacks. Commissioner Lott suggested that if eight feet was considered too close, the Commission could consider a 10- or 12-foot rear setback rather than the full 15-foot residential setback. Commissioner Toller continued to support using the existing residential setback but acknowledged that a graduated height requirement could effectively increase the setback for taller structures.

At the Commission's request, Mr. McIlwrath described Layton City's existing DADU standards. He explained that DADUs located within a side yard must generally meet the same setbacks as the primary residence. For DADUs located in the rear yard, Layton requires a five-foot side setback and 10-foot rear setback for a single-story structure, while a two-story structure requires 10-foot side setbacks and a 20-foot rear setback.

Mr. McIlwrath also addressed concerns regarding architectural design and window placement. He explained that current state law significantly limits a city's ability to regulate certain design characteristics, including building materials and window placement. As a result, he suggested that the City's primary regulatory tools may be height, setbacks, location, and DADU size unless the Legislature provides additional authority in a future session.

Chair Young noted that establishing separate setback standards for one- and two-story DADUs, similar to Layton City's approach, could be simpler than requiring an incremental increase in setback for each additional foot of building height.

Commissioner Toller requested that the ordinance incorporate the authority provided by state law to consider proximity to property lines, easements, and window orientation. He also supported including language requiring DADUs to be designed consistently with the primary single-family dwelling, while acknowledging the potential conflict with other state restrictions on design standards.

Chair Young suggested returning to the draft ordinance and reviewing it provision by provision to establish specific recommendations. Beginning with the setback provision, the Commission agreed that DADUs should not simply follow the City's existing accessory structure setbacks, particularly the current one-foot rear-yard setback.

The Commission discussed two approaches. Chair Young and Commissioner Sevy favored establishing uniform DADU setbacks throughout the City, potentially with different requirements for single- and two-story structures. Commissioner Toller favored requiring

DADUs to comply with the residential setbacks applicable to the underlying zoning district, stating that property owners have relied upon those setbacks when purchasing and developing their properties.

Commissioner Packer supported allowing reduced setbacks for DADUs, explaining that he viewed them as a new and distinct residential category rather than an additional primary dwelling. He felt smaller setbacks could be appropriate to make DADUs reasonably feasible while still providing appropriate protections for neighboring properties.

Commissioner Moore supported Commissioner Toller's approach of generally using the existing residential setbacks, noting that those standards are already established and familiar to property owners.

Chair Young noted that the Commission remained divided on the appropriate setback standard but appeared to agree that the existing accessory structure setback requirements were insufficient for DADUs.

The Commission continued discussing potential size limitations for DADUs. Chair Young asked whether a maximum of 75 percent of the size of the primary residence would be appropriate. Commissioner Packer supported establishing a size limitation but noted that a percentage-based standard could create unusual results for properties with very small primary residences, such as older farmhouses located on large lots.

Commissioner Toller agreed that larger agricultural properties could present circumstances where a larger accessory dwelling may be reasonable and suggested that zoning could potentially be considered in those situations. He nevertheless supported using a 70- or 75-percent limitation as a starting point.

At the Commission's request, Mr. McIlwrath described standards used by other communities. He said some jurisdictions limit DADUs to a percentage of the primary residence combined with a maximum square footage, such as 50 percent of the primary residence or 1,200 square feet, whichever is less. He emphasized the importance of specifying whether the percentage is based on total living area or the building footprint. He suggested that using the footprint could better control the visual scale of the DADU in relation to the primary residence.

Commissioner Toller referenced comparative information he had previously provided showing how several Utah communities regulate DADU size through maximum square footage, percentages, or lot-based standards. Commissioner Sevy noted that where DADU size limitations make a detached unit impractical, a property owner may have the alternative of constructing an addition and creating an internal accessory dwelling unit.

Commissioner Sommerkorn agreed that where an existing primary residence is particularly small, expanding the primary residence to accommodate an internal ADU may be more appropriate than constructing a disproportionately large detached unit.

The Commission continued discussing an appropriate size limitation. Commissioner Packer supported establishing a clear maximum smaller than the primary residence, while Chair Young favored basing the calculation on the footprint of the primary residence rather than its total living area. Commissioner Sevy noted that using the footprint would help control the overall scale of the DADU while still allowing additional living area if a second story were permitted. Commissioner Toller referenced South Jordan's approach, which requires additional Planning Commission review when an ADU exceeds certain size thresholds. Commissioner Packer encouraged the Commission to adopt a simple standard initially, given the approaching state deadline, with the understanding that the ordinance could be amended later if needed.

After further discussion, the Commission generally supported recommending a maximum DADU footprint of 50 percent of the primary residence's footprint or 1,200 square feet, whichever is less. Ms. Ellis explained that staff would incorporate the Commission's feedback, along with feedback anticipated from the City Council, into a revised draft.

The Commission then discussed whether a property should be permitted to contain both an internal ADU and a detached ADU. Commissioner Sevy supported allowing both if all applicable requirements could be met. Commissioner Toller favored limiting each property to one ADU.

Commissioner Packer indicated that both could be appropriate in some circumstances but did not strongly advocate for changing the proposed limitation. Commissioner Lott was comfortable retaining the one-ADU limitation initially and reconsidering it later if appropriate. The Commission generally agreed to leave the draft provision limiting a property to one ADU, either internal or detached, unchanged for the time being.

The Commission agreed to recommend increasing the minimum rental period for an ADU from 30 to 90 consecutive days. The Commission also reached consensus on reducing the minimum lot size for a DADU from 11,000 to 10,000 square feet.

Commissioner Sevy asked about the owner-occupancy requirement. Commissioner Toller supported retaining the provision requiring the property owner to reside in either the primary residence or the ADU, stating that this would prevent a single-family property from effectively becoming a multi-unit rental property. The Commission agreed that the existing language adequately addressed this concern.

The Commission then returned to rear-yard setbacks, where members continued to have differing opinions. Commissioner Lott supported a rear setback of approximately eight to ten feet rather than requiring the full residential setback, noting that a larger setback could unnecessarily limit DADU opportunities. Chair Young agreed that a reduced setback could be appropriate.

Commissioner Toller continued to favor the setback required by the underlying residential zone, citing concerns about privacy and maintaining established neighborhood expectations. He suggested that deviations from the residential setback might receive Planning Commission

review as a possible compromise.

Commissioner Sommerkorn cautioned that requiring discretionary Planning Commission review could conflict with state law prohibiting cities from treating ADUs as conditional uses. Commissioner Toller acknowledged that concern and withdrew the suggestion as a potential solution.

The Commission discussed using standards from neighboring communities as guidance. Commissioners Lott and Sevy expressed interest in an approach similar to Layton City's, with different rear-yard setbacks based on whether the DADU is one or two stories, as a possible compromise between a reduced setback and the full residential setback.

The Commission summarized the proposed changes to the draft ordinance. Chair Young noted that the Commission had generally agreed to recommend allowing DADUs on lots of 10,000 square feet or larger and to consider setback standards similar to those used by Layton City. She said the ordinance should require the DADU to be consistent with the design of the primary dwelling and proposed limiting its size to no more than 50% of the footprint of the primary dwelling or 1,200 square feet, whichever is less.

She also summarized the Commission's consensus to require a minimum rental period of 90 consecutive days and to retain the provision allowing no more than one ADU per property, whether internal or detached.

The Commission clarified that a property owner could not have both an IADU and a DADU or multiple DADUs. Other accessory structures could still be permitted subject to applicable regulations.

Chair Young raised window placement as an additional issue for consideration. Commissioner Toller noted that state law appeared to provide some authority to address window orientation, although the extent of that authority had been discussed earlier in the meeting.

Commissioner Lott indicated she was prepared to attempt a motion incorporating the Commission's recommendations. Chair Young and Commissioner Packer emphasized that the recommendation would not constitute a finished ordinance and that additional drafting and review would occur before final adoption.

Commissioner Lott moved to recommend approval of the ordinance as written with the following amendments: allow DADUs on lots of 10,000 square feet or larger; use Layton City's code as a reference for setback requirements under Section 3(B)(3); retain the requirement that the DADU be consistent with the design of the single-family dwelling; limit the DADU to 50% of the footprint of the primary dwelling or 1,200 square feet, whichever is less; and require rentals to be for no fewer than 90 consecutive days. Commissioner Moore seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (6-0).

Commissioner Young: Yay
Commissioner Moore: Yay
Commissioner Lott: Yay
Commissioner Sevy: Yay
Commissioner Sommerkorn: Yay
Commissioner Packer: Yay

4- DISCUSSION OF POSSIBLE ACTION TO REVIEW ACCESSORY STRUCTURES CODE REQUIREMENTS

Chair Young moved the discussion to the accessory structure code requirements. Mr. Sommerkorn explained that the DADU ordinance review had identified concerns with the City's existing accessory structure regulations. He said a detailed discussion was not necessary that evening, but the Commission should formally initiate consideration of amendments so the issue could be placed on the record and reviewed within the applicable six-month period.

Chair Young clarified that the proposed DADU amendments would establish separate setback standards rather than relying on the existing accessory structure setbacks. Mr. Sommerkorn confirmed and explained that the broader accessory structure regulations could then be reviewed independently.

The Commission discussed concerns with the current accessory structure standards, including provisions that may allow structures as close as one foot from a property line. Mr. Sommerkorn stated that staff would like direction from the Commission to consider potential amendments to the accessory structure code.

Chair Young invited a motion to formally begin consideration of changes to the accessory structure requirements.

Commissioner Lott moved that the Planning Commission discuss possible amendments to the accessory structure code requirements at a future meeting. Commissioner Lott seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (6-0).

5- APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM JULY 23, 2026 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

Commissioner Packer made a motion to approve July 23, 2026 minutes and Commissioner Toller seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion (6-0).

Commissioner Young: Yay
Commissioner Moore: Yay
Commissioner Lott: Yay
Commissioner Sevy: Yay
Commissioner Sommerkorn: Yay

Commissioner Packer: Yay

6- OTHER MATTERS THAT PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Ms. Edstrom recognized Ms. McNamara's final Planning Commission meeting and thanked her for her service to Kaysville City over the past two years. Ms. McNamara shared that she would be relocating to Northern Virginia. Ms. Ellis also thanked Ms. McNamara for her professionalism and continued commitment through her final day with the City.

Ms. Edstrom announced that Brad McIlwrath was anticipated to join Kaysville City staff on August 31 and expressed appreciation for his participation and input during the meeting. She reminded Commissioners of upcoming planning conferences and asked those interested in attending the APA conference to notify her so she could coordinate registration. She also noted an upcoming ULUI conference at the Davis Conference Center.

Ms. Ellis advised the Commission that a variance would be considered at the August 27 meeting. She explained that Commissioners would be acting as the appeal authority and should avoid discussing the matter with staff, neighbors, or others prior to the hearing. She said she would provide Commissioners with training materials regarding their responsibilities as an appeal authority so their decision could be based solely on the evidence presented at the hearing.

Ms. Edstrom also reported that an application had been received for a conditional use permit for a preschool and requested that it be placed on the August 27 agenda. The Commission agreed.

Mr. Sommerkorn returned briefly to the Commission's decision to review the accessory structure ordinance. He explained that initiating the review could affect applications submitted while potential amendments are being considered. He noted that the intent was primarily to evaluate regulations for larger accessory structures rather than routine structures such as small storage sheds. Staff discussed the need to appropriately advise applicants that regulations were under review and could potentially change. Ms. Ellis noted that conducting much of the review during the winter months may reduce the number of affected applications.

7- ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Sommerkorn motioned to adjourn the meeting at 9:10 pm.